

For the companies listed in Appendix II which were not selected for individual review, we will assign an assessment rate based on the review-specific rate, calculated as noted in the "Rate for Non-Individually Examined Companies" section, above. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.¹⁹

For the companies listed in Appendix III for which the review is being rescinded, Commerce will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit rate for estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue rescission instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP regarding La Molisana, Garofalo, and the companies listed in Appendix II no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the companies listed above will be that established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed companies not covered by this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific cash deposit rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a

firm covered in this review, or the LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent segment for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 15.45 percent, the rate established in the *Section 129 Determination*.²⁰ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties, and/or an increase in the amount of antidumping duties by the amount of the countervailing duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results of review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: October 7, 2026.

Scot Fullerton,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Recission of Review, In Part
- V. Affiliation
- VI. Discussion of the Methodology
- VII. Currency Conversion
- VIII. Recommendation

Appendix II

Review-Specific Rate Applicable to Companies Not Selected for Individual Review

1. Gruppo Milo SpA
2. Rummo S.p.A.; Pasta Castiglioni S.r.l.; Molino e Pastificio; Rummo Lenta

²⁰ See *Implementation of the Findings of the WTO Panel in US-Zeroing (EC): Notice of Determinations Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and Revocations and Partial Revocations of Certain Antidumping Duty Orders*, 72 FR 25261 (May 4, 2007) (*Section 129 Determination*).

Lavorazione S.p.A.

Appendix III

Companies for Which We Are Rescinding This Administrative Review

1. Agritalia S.r.L.
2. Andriani S.p.A.
3. Antiche Tradizioni Di Gragnano S.R.L.
4. Barilla G. e R. Fratelli Società per Azioni Socio Unico
5. George DeLallo Company, Inc.
6. La Doria Pasta PL S.r.l.
7. Marchesi Frescobaldi Soc. Agricola S.r.l.
8. Pastificio Artigiano Cav. Giuseppe Cocco S.R.L.
9. Pastificio Di Martino Gaetano e Flli S.p.A.
10. Pastificio Fratelli Cellino S.P.A.
11. Pastificio Fratelli Cellino S.R.L.
12. Pastificio Ligouri S.p.A.; PAM S.P.A.; PAM S.R.L.; Liguori Pastificio Dal 1820 S.P.A.; Pastificio Della Forma S.r.l.
13. Pastificio Mediterranea S.R.L.
14. Pastificio Sgambaro
15. The Aldino Group: Aldino S.r.l.; Pastificio Tamma S.r.l, Pastificio Chiavenna S.r.l., Pastificio Rigo S.P.A.

[FR Doc. 2026–21042 Filed 10–14–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–475–840]

Forged Steel Fluid End Blocks from Italy: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With the Results of Antidumping Administrative Review; Notice of Amended Final Results

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 2, 2026, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) issued its final judgment in *Ellwood City Forge Company v. United States*, Court no. 23–00191, sustaining the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce)'s first remand results pertaining to the administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on forged steel fluid end blocks (FEBs) from Italy covering the period of review (POR) July 23, 2020 through December 31, 2021. Commerce is notifying the public that the CIT's final judgment is not in harmony with Commerce's final results of the administrative review, and that Commerce is amending the final results with respect to the dumping margin assigned to Lucchini Mame Forge S.p.A.

DATES: Applicable October 12, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Yang Jin Chun, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5760.

¹⁹ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 14, 2023, Commerce published its *Final Results* of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on forged steel fluid end blocks from Italy covering the POR July 23, 2020 through December 31, 2021. Commerce calculated a weighted-average dumping margin of 2.97 percent.¹

Elwood City Forge Company appealed Commerce’s *Final Results*. On April 28, 2026, the CIT remanded the *Final Results* to Commerce, directing Commerce to: (1) further explain its determination that Cogne and Ciscato, two producers whose financial statements Commerce used to calculate Lucchini’s CV profit, produced merchandise identical to the subject

FEBs; and (2) further explain/reconsider its selection of the market-price benchmark used in the major input analysis.²

In its final results of redetermination, issued in July 2026, Commerce reversed both determinations. Commerce excluded Cogne and Ciscato from its CV profit calculation. Commerce also used Company A’s U.S. purchases of ingots as the market-price benchmark for its major-input analysis and rejected Lucchini’s February 2023 adjustment information as untimely new factual information.³ The CIT sustained Commerce’s *Final Results of Redetermination*.⁴

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*,⁵ as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,⁶ the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held

that, pursuant to section 516A(c) and (e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of court decision that is not “in harmony” with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a “conclusive” court decision. The CIT’s October 2, 2026, judgment constitutes a final decision of the CIT that is not in harmony with Commerce’s *Final Results*. Thus, this notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*.

Amended Final Results

Because there is now a final court judgment, Commerce is amending its *Final Results* with respect to Lucchini as follows:

Exporter/producer	Final results weighted-average dumping margin (percent)	Amended final results weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Lucchini Mame Forge S.p.A	2.97	7.55

Cash Deposit Requirements

Because Lucchini has a superseding cash deposit rate, *i.e.*, there have been final results published in a subsequent administrative review,⁷ we will not issue revised cash deposit instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). This notice will not affect the current cash deposit rate.

Liquidation of Suspended Entries

At this time, Commerce remains enjoined by CIT order from liquidating entries that: were produced and/or exported by Lucchini, and were entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the period of review July 23, 2020, through December 31, 2021. These entries will remain enjoined pursuant to the terms of the injunction during the pendency of any appeals process.

In the event the CIT’s ruling is not appealed, or, if appealed, upheld by a final and conclusive court decision, Commerce intends to instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on unliquidated entries of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by Lucchini in accordance with 19 CFR

351.212(b). We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is not zero or *de minimis*. Where an import-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*,⁸ we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(c) and (e) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 9, 2026.

Scot Fullerton,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2026–21044 Filed 10–14–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–523–808]

Certain Steel Nails From the Sultanate of Oman: Preliminary Results and Intent To Rescind, in Part, Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2024–2025

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that Oman Fasteners LLC (Oman Fasteners) did not make sales of the subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR), July 1, 2024, through June 30, 2025. In addition, we intend to rescind the review with respect to 15 companies. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results of review.

DATES: Applicable October 15, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Catherine Bonilla, AD/CVD Operations, Office OIV, Enforcement and

¹ See *Forged Steel Fluid End Blocks from Italy: Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021*, 88 FR 55010 (August 14, 2023) (*Final Results*), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.

² See *Ellwood City Forge Company v. United States*, 834 F. Supp. 3d 1304 (CIT 2026) (*Remand Order*).

³ See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Remand Order (Final Results of Redetermination)*.

⁴ See *Ellwood City Forge Company v. United States*, Ct. No. 23–00191 (CIT October 2, 2026) (Judgement).

⁵ See *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

⁶ See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).

⁷ See *Forged Steel Fluid End Blocks from Italy: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2023*, 91 FR 3416 (January 27, 2026).

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).