will likely have a small impact on the market, choosing not to grant relief is likely to subject MCM to substantial costs that could further incentivize migration of SBS activities into an already smaller cluster of the market, leading to decreased choice for counterparties. Additionally, since much of MCM's SBS activity is related to hedging and internal risk management, it is likely that counterparties and MCM and its affiliates as a whole will face substantial costs and difficulties when mitigating counterparty and hedging risks.

• *Promotes Regulatory Comparability:* Permitting MCM to continue to comply through the CEA and the CFTC's rules advances the Dodd-Frank Act's goal, of establishing and maintaining comparable minimum capital requirements across the Commission, the CFTC, and the prudential regulators to the maximum extent practicable.[18] Rule 18a–10 provides a unique ability to ease a regulatory burden while fostering harmonization across the securities industry. Relief in this instance furthers regulatory consistency by expanding existing coverage for similar derivatives products in a manner that is inherently coherent.[19]

• *Preserves Safety, Soundness, and Liquidity While Reducing Duplicative Costs:* MCM would remain subject to the CFTC's comprehensive capital, margin, segregation, and risk management requirements, including its liquidity risk management requirements, so the relief would not compromise MCM's financial soundness. At the same time, the relief would spare MCM the cost and inefficiency of maintaining duplicative capital, margin, and segregation calculations under two regulatory regimes, reducing burdens on both MCM and the Commission's limited resources.[20]

III. Solicitation of Comments

The Commission requests and encourages any interested person to submit comments regarding the potential change, including whether the Commission should increase the maximum fixed-dollar amount of the aggregate gross notional outstanding SBS positions for any SBSD eligible for Rule 18a–10 from $50 billion to $100 billion. In particular, the Commission solicits comment on the following questions:

1. Do commenters agree with MCM's reasons described in the Request for increasing Rule 18a–10's maximum fixed-dollar amount to $100 billion? Please explain why or why not.

2. Would increasing Rule 18a–10's maximum fixed-dollar amount to $100 billion be consistent with paragraph (f)(2) of Rule 18a–10, which requires the Commission to consider the levels of SBS activity of SBSDs operating under the rule? Please explain why or why not.

3. If Rule 18a–10's maximum fixed-dollar amount was increased to $100 billion, how significant could SBSDs relying on the ACM be to the SBS market and broader securities markets based on their SBS activity levels? What would be the individual and collective impact of these firms on the SBS market? Please explain.

4. Is there a maximum fixed-dollar amount that is lower or higher than the amount in the Request that the Commission should adopt, that accounts for the factors the Commission should consider in changing the maximum fixed-dollar amount? If so, please identify the amount and explain why it is appropriate.

Comments should be received on or before December 2, 2026. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission's internet comment form (*https://www.sec.gov/comments/s7-2026-38/notice-potential-change-increase-maximum-fixed-dollar-amount-alternative-compliance-mechanism*); or

• Send an email to *rule-comments@sec.gov.* Please include File Number S7–2026–38 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number S7–2026–38. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method of submission. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (*https://www.sec.gov/rules-regulations/public-comments/s7-2026-38*). Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection.

For further information, you may contact Raymond A. Lombardo, Acting Associate Director, Michelle Danis, Acting Associate Director, or Valentina Minak Deng, Special Counsel, Office of Broker-Dealer Finances, Division of Trading and Markets, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–7010.

By the Commission.

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026–22272 Filed 10–30–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

[18] 15 U.S.C. 78o–10(e)(3)(D)(ii); *see* Adopting Release at 44035, 44036 (describing the Commission's aim to promote a harmonized regulatory environment for SBSDs).

[19] Providing relief by increasing the maximum fixed-dollar amount is also consistent with the CFTC's and SEC's commitment to harmonization in areas of common regulatory interest. In a memorandum of understanding published in March 2026, the SEC and CFTC agreed to coordinate and collaborate between agencies to promote innovation with a ''minimum effective dose of regulation.'' Among those explicit areas of discussion was a commitment to remove regulatory obstacles and to generally enhance the derivatives market, with an aim at lowering compliance frictions and ensuring that the U.S. markets remain competitive. Memorandum of Understanding Between the Commission and the CFTC Regarding Harmonization in Areas of Common Regulatory Interest (Mar. 26, 2026), *available at https://www.sec.gov/newsroom/press-releases/2026-26-sec-cftc-announce-historic-memorandum-understanding-between-agencies.*

[20] *See* 17 CFR 23.600 (CFTC risk management program requirements for swap dealers, including requirements addressing liquidity risk).

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–106760; File No. SR–NYSEAMER–2026–95]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE American LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend the NYSE American Options Fee Schedule To Waive the Maximum Combined Floor Broker Credits on QCC Trades and Rebates Paid Through the Manual Billable Rebate Program for October 2026

October 28, 2026.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) [1] of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (''Act'') [2] and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,[3] notice is hereby given that, on October 20, 2026, NYSE American LLC (''NYSE American'' or the ''Exchange'') filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the ''Commission'') the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] 15 U.S.C. 78a.

[3] 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the NYSE American Options Fee Schedule (''Fee Schedule'') to waive the maximum combined Floor Broker credits paid for QCC trades and rebates paid through the Manual Billable Rebate Program for the month of October 2026. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at *www.nyse.com* and at the principal office of the Exchange.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of this filing is to amend the Fee Schedule to waive the maximum combined Floor Broker credits paid for QCC trades and rebates paid through the Manual Billable Rebate Program for the month of October 2026.

The Exchange imposes a limit on the maximum combined Floor Broker credits paid for QCC trades and rebates paid through the Manual Billable Rebate Program per month per Floor Broker firm (the ''Cap'').[4] In 2025, the combined limit was $3,000,000. However, based on continuing open outcry volumes on the Exchange throughout 2025, the Exchange increased the combined limit to $4,000,000.[5] Shortly thereafter, and for the same reason (*i.e.,* elevated open outcry volume), the Exchange waived the Cap for the months of January and February 2026.[6] Shortly thereafter, and again to address continued elevated open outcry volumes, the Exchange further increased the Cap to $5,500,000 in March 2026.[7]

Because open outcry volumes on the Exchange are again significantly elevated, the Exchange proposes to waive the Cap for the month of October 2026 and to use the period during which the Cap is waived to evaluate further adjustments to the amount of the Cap.[8] As with previous waivers, the proposed waiver is being adopted in anticipation of Floor Broker firms reaching the Cap before month's end and potentially redirecting their order flow away from the Exchange. In the absence of the proposed waiver, Floor Broker firms may choose to re-direct such order flow to a competing market. Accordingly, the purpose of the proposal is to encourage Floor Broker firms to continue to direct open outcry transactions to the Exchange, despite increasing industry volumes making it less difficult to reach the Cap (even at the most recently increased amount).

Although the Exchange cannot predict with certainty how many Floor Broker firms would be impacted by this change, the Exchange believes that the proposed changes would incent Floor Brokers to continue to direct their order flow to the Exchange, thus increasing liquidity to the benefit of all market participants.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,[9] in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and (5) of the Act,[10] in particular, because it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members, issuers and other persons using its facilities and does not unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers or dealers.

As a threshold matter, the Exchange is subject to significant competitive forces in the market for options securities transaction services that constrain its pricing determinations in that market. The Commission has repeatedly expressed its preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system ''has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies.'' [11]

There are currently 20 registered options exchanges competing for order flow. Based on publicly available information and, excluding index-based options, no single exchange has more than 16% of the market share of executed volume of multiply-listed equity and ETF options trades.[12] Therefore, currently no exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of multiply-listed equity and ETF options order flow. More specifically, in September 2026, the Exchange had 12.39% market share of executed volume of multiply-listed equity and ETF options order flow. In such a low concentrated and highly competitive market, no single options exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of option order flow.

The proposed waiver of the Cap is reasonable because it is designed to encourage the role performed by Floor Brokers in facilitating the execution of orders via open outcry, a function that the Exchange wishes to support for the benefit of all market participants, and would allow the Exchange time to evaluate further changes to the amount of the Cap. Absent the proposed waiver, the Exchange believes that as soon as

[4] *See* Fee Schedule, Sections I.F. and III.E.1 (providing, in relevant part, that Floor Broker credits paid for QCC trades and rebates paid through the Manual Billable Rebate Program shall not combine to exceed $5,5000,000 per month per Floor Broker firm).

[5] *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 104676 (January 23, 2026), 91 FR 3748 (January 28, 2026) (SR–NYSEAMER–2026–03) (Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Modify the NYSE American Options Fee Schedule To Modify the Market Maker Sliding Scale Qualification Tiers and Amend the Floor Broker Fixed Cost Prepayment Incentive Program).

[6] *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 104696 (January 27, 2026), 91 FR 4136 (January 30, 2026) (SR–NYSEAMER–2026–05) (Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Modify the NYSE American Options Fee Schedule To Waive the Combined Cap on Floor Broker Credits Paid for QCC Trades and Rebates Paid Through the Manual Billable Rebate Program for the Months of January and February 2026).

[7] *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 105132 (March 31, 2026), 91 FR 17020 (April 3, 2026) (SR–NYSEAMER–2026–25) (Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Modify the NYSE American Options Fee Schedule To Eliminate Certain Incentive Programs and Increase the Limit on the Maximum Combined Floor Broker Credits Paid on QCC Trades and Rebates Paid Through the Manual Billable Program).

[8] *See* proposed Fee Schedule, Sections I.F. and III.E.1.

[9] 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

[10] 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

[11] *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005) (S7–10–04) (''Reg NMS Adopting Release'').

[12] The OCC publishes options and futures volume in a variety of formats, including daily and monthly volume by exchange, available at: *https://www.theocc.com/Market-Data/Market-Data-Reports/Volume-and-Open-Interest/Monthly-Weekly-Volume-Statistics.*

Floor Brokers reach the Cap, they are likely to re-direct order flow away from the Exchange, which may adversely impact other market participants trading on the Exchange. To the extent that the proposed waiver encourages Floor Brokers to facilitate transactions on the Exchange instead of on a competing market, all market participants participating on the Exchange would benefit from the increased liquidity. The Exchange believes the proposed waiver should continue to incent Floor Brokers to encourage market participants to aggregate their executions at the Exchange as a primary execution venue. To the extent that the proposed change achieves its purpose in attracting more volume to the Exchange, this increased order flow would continue to make the Exchange a more competitive venue for order execution, thus improving market quality for all market participants.

The Exchange believes the proposed waiver of the Cap is an equitable allocation of its fees and credits and is not unfairly discriminatory because the proposal is based on the amount and type of business transacted on the Exchange. Floor Brokers are not obligated to execute manual transactions and QCCs to earn rebates and credits applied toward the Cap. However, the proposed waiver is designed to continue to encourage the role performed by Floor Brokers in facilitating the execution of orders via open outcry, a function that the Exchange wishes to support for the benefit of all market participants.

To the extent that the proposed waiver of the Cap continues to attract manual transactions and QCCs to the Exchange, this increased order flow would continue to make the Exchange a more competitive venue for order execution. Thus, the Exchange believes the proposed waiver would improve market quality for all market participants on the Exchange and attract more order flow to the Exchange, thereby improving market-wide quality and price discovery. The resulting increased volume and liquidity would provide more trading opportunities and tighter spreads to all market participants and thus would promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, protect investors and the public interest.

Finally, the Exchange believes that it is subject to significant competitive forces, as described below in the Exchange's statement regarding the burden on competition.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

In accordance with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change would impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Instead, as discussed above, the Exchange believes that the proposed changes would encourage the submission of additional liquidity to a public exchange, thereby promoting market depth, price discovery and transparency and enhancing order execution opportunities for all market participants. As a result, the Exchange believes that the proposed change furthers the Commission's goal in adopting Regulation NMS of fostering integrated competition among orders, which promotes ''more efficient pricing of individual stocks for all types of orders, large and small.'' [13]

Intramarket Competition. The proposed waiver of the Cap would apply equally to all similarly situated Floor Brokers. To the extent that there is an additional competitive burden on non-Floor Brokers, the Exchange believes that any such burden would be appropriate because Floor Brokers serve an important function in facilitating the execution of orders in open outcry and price discovery for all market participants.

Intermarket Competition. The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor one of the other 19 competing options exchanges if they deem the Exchange's fee levels to be excessive. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees to remain competitive with other exchanges and to attract order flow to the Exchange. Based on publicly available information, and excluding index-based options, no single exchange has more than 16% of the market share of executed volume of multiply-listed equity and ETF options trades.[14] Therefore, currently no exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of multiply-listed equity and ETF options order flow. More specifically, in September 2026, the Exchange had 12.39% market share of executed volume of multiply-listed equity and ETF options trades.[15]

The Exchange believes that the proposed waiver of the Cap reflects this competitive environment because it is designed to continue to incent Floor Brokers to direct manual and QCC transactions to the Exchange, to provide liquidity and to attract order flow. To the extent that Floor Brokers are encouraged to utilize the Exchange as a primary trading venue for all transactions, all Exchange market participants stand to benefit from the improved market quality and increased opportunities for price improvement. The Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually review, and consider adjusting, its fees and credits to remain competitive with other exchanges. For the reasons described above, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change reflects this competitive environment

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change is effective upon filing pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) [16] of the Act and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b–4 [17] thereunder, because it establishes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) [18] of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule

[13] *See* Reg NMS Adopting Release, *supra* note 11, at 37499.

[14] The OCC publishes options and futures volume in a variety of formats, including daily and monthly volume by exchange, available at: *https://www.theocc.com/Market-Data/Market-Data-Reports/Volume-and-Open-Interest/Monthly-Weekly-Volume-Statistics.*

[15] Based on a compilation of OCC data for monthly volume of equity-based options and monthly volume of equity-based ETF options, see id., the Exchange's market share in equity-based options increased from 8.89% for the month of September 2026 to 12.39% for the month of September 2026.

[16] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

[17] 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(2).

[18] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (*https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*); or
- Send an email to *rule-comments@sec.gov.* Please include file number SR–NYSEAMER–2026–95 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR–NYSEAMER–2026–95. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (*https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*). Copies of the filing will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR–NYSEAMER–2026–95 and should be submitted on or before November 23, 2026.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.[19]

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026–22265 Filed 10–30–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

[19] 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice: 13133]

Notice of Department of State Sanctions Actions

ACTION: Notice of sanctions action.

SUMMARY: On February 24, 2026, the Secretary of State Marco Rubio determined that each of Sergey Sergeyevich Zelenyuk, Matrix LLC, and Special Technology Services LLC FZ met the criteria for sanctions set forth in section 2(a)(1)(A) of the Protecting American Intellectual Property Act of 2022 (Pub. L. 117–336) (PAIPA) and, in consultation with the heads of other relevant agencies, as appropriate, selected the sanctions described in section 2(b)(1)(A), (D), (H), (I), and (J) to be imposed on the entities.

DATES: The Secretary of State's determinations and selection of certain sanctions to be imposed upon the entities and individuals identified in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section were effective on February 24, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrew J. Weinschenk, Director, Office of Global Sanctions & Threat Finance, Bureau of Economic, Energy, and Business Affairs, Department of State, Washington, DC 20520, tel.: (202) 647–5763, email: *WeinschenkAJ@state.gov*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Acting pursuant to PAIPA and Presidential Memorandum of July 19, 2024 (''Delegation of Functions and Authorities Under the Protecting American Intellectual Property Act of 2022''), the Secretary of State determined that each of Sergey Sergeyevich Zelenyuk, Matrix LLC, and Special Technology Services LLC FZ met the criteria set forth in section 2(a)(1)(A) of PAIPA, as indicated below, and, in consultation with the heads of other relevant agencies, as appropriate, selected the sanctions described in section 2(b)(1)(A), (D), (H), (I), and (J) to be imposed on the entities. As a result, Sergeyevich Zelenyuk, Matrix LLC, and Special Technology Services LLC FZ are subject to the sanctions indicated below, effective February 24, 2026.

Individual

1. SERGEY SERGEYEVICH ZELENYUK (Cyrillic: ЗЕЛЕНЮК, Сергей Сергеевич) (a.k.a. ZELENYUK, Sergei Sergeevich; a.k.a. Zelenyuk, Sergey Sergeevich; a.k.a. ''MORTENOIR''; a.k.a. ''SRGSRGSRG111''), Rimsky-Korsakov Prospect, Building 109–111, Litera/Block A, Apartment 20, Saint Petersburg 190068, Russia; DOB 17 Mar 1994; POB Saint Petersburg, Russia; Nationality Russia; Gender Male; PAIPA Section 2 information: BLOCKING OF PROPERTY (individual). Sec.2(b)(2)(a); Tax ID No. 780223642330 (Russia) (individual) [PAIPA].

Identified pursuant to section 2(a)(1)(A)(i) of PAIPA for being a foreign person that has knowingly engaging in, or benefitted from, significant theft of trade secrets of United States persons, which occurred on or after January 5, 2023, and is reasonably likely to result in, or has materially contributed to, a significant threat to the national security, foreign policy, or economic health or financial stability of the United States.

Entities

1. Matrix LLC (Cyrillic: ОБЩЕСТВО С ОГРАНИЧЕННОЙ ОТВЕТСТВЕННОСТЬЮ МАТРИЦА) (a.k.a. LLC MATRITSA; a.k.a. MATRIX LIMITED; a.k.a. MATRIX LTD (Cyrillic: ООО МАТРИЦА); a.k.a. OPERATION ZERO; a.k.a. OPZERO), Sh. Petergofskoe, 73, Letter T, Office 26/1, Room 1, Municipal District Sosnovaya Polyana, Saint Petersburg 198206, Russia; website opzero.ru; PAIPA Section 2 information: BLOCKING OF PROPERTY (entity). Sec.2(b)(1)(A); alt. PAIPA Section 2 Information: LOANS FROM UNITED STATES FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS. Sec.2(b)(1)(D); alt. PAIPA Section 2 Information: FOREIGN EXCHANGE. Sec.2(b)(1)(H); BANKING TRANSACTIONS. Sec.2(b)(1)(I); BAN ON INVESTMENT IN EQUITY OR DEBT OF SANCTIONED PERSON. Sec.2(b)(1)(J); Organization Established Date 27 Sep 2021; Tax ID No. 7807251301 (Russia) [PAIPA].

Identified pursuant to section 2(a)(1)(A)(i) of PAIPA, for being a foreign person that has knowingly engaged in, or benefitted from, significant theft of trade secrets of United States persons, which occurred on or after January 5, 2023 and is reasonably likely to result in, or has materially contributed to, a significant threat to the national security, foreign policy, or economic health or financial stability of the United States.

2. SPECIAL TECHNOLOGY SERVICES LLC FZ Meydan Grandstand, 6th Floor, Meydan Road, Nad Al Sheba, Dubai, United Arab Emirates; PAIPA Section 2 information: BLOCKING OF PROPERTY (entity). Sec.2(b)(1)(A); alt. PAIPA Section 2 Information: LOANS FROM UNITED STATES FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS. Sec.2(b)(1)(D); alt. PAIPA Section 2 Information: FOREIGN EXCHANGE. Sec.2(b)(1)(H); BANKING TRANSACTIONS. Sec.2(b)(1)(I); BAN ON INVESTMENT IN EQUITY OR DEBT OF SANCTIONED PERSON. Sec.2(b)(1)(J); Organization Established Date 07 Dec 2024 [PAIPA]. (Linked To: ZELENYUK).

Identified pursuant to section 2(a)(1)(A)(iii) of PAIPA for being a foreign person that is owned or controlled by, or that has acted or purported to act for or on behalf of, directly or indirectly, Sergey Sergeyevich Zelenyuk.

Hugo Y. Yon,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Economic, Energy, and Business Affairs, U.S. Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2026–22248 Filed 10–30–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710–07–P