Board on behalf of AFC Specialty Coatings Group, LLC, within FTZ 22, in Lake in the Hills, Illinois.

The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (91 FR 724, February 17, 2026). On October 29, 2026, the applicant was notified of the FTZ Board's decision that no further review of the activity is warranted at this time. The production activity described in the notification was authorized, subject to the FTZ Act and the FTZ Board's regulations, including section 400.14.

Dated: October 29, 2026.

Colin Agostisi,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026–22429 Filed 11–2–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–714–001]

Phosphate Fertilizers From the Kingdom of Morocco: Final Results of First Full Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on phosphate fertilizers (fertilizers) from the Kingdom of Morocco (Morocco) would likely lead to the continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy at the levels indicated in the ''Final Results of Sunset Review'' section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable November 3, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Faris Montgomery, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1537.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 7, 2021, Commerce published a CVD order on fertilizers from Morocco.[1] On March 2, 2026, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the first sunset review of the *Order,* pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.218(c).[2] On the basis of notices of intent to participate and adequate substantive responses filed by domestic interested parties, and adequate responses from the Government of Morocco (GOM) and respondent OCP S.A. (OCP), Commerce determined to conduct a full sunset review of this CVD order, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(2).[3] On July 24, 2026, Commerce preliminarily determined that revocation of the CVD order on phosphate fertilizers from Morocco would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of subsidization.[4] On August 24, 2026, the GOM and OCP filed case briefs in response to the *Preliminary Results*.[5] On August 31, 2026, Commerce received rebuttal briefs from domestic interested parties, the Mosaic Company (Mosaic) and J.R. Simplot Company, LLC (Simplot).[6]

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the *Order* is fertilizers from Morocco. For a full description of the scope, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.[7]

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues addressed is attached as an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS), which is available to registered users at *https://access.trade.gov.* In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at *https://access.trade.gov/frnotices.*

[1] *See Phosphate Fertilizers from the Kingdom of Morocco and the Russian Federation: Countervailing Duty Orders,* 86 FR 18037 (April 7, 2021) (*Order*).

[2] *See Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews,* 91 FR 10053 (March 2, 2026).

[3] *See Phosphate Fertilizers from the Kingdom of Morocco: Preliminary Results of First Full Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order,* 91 FR 46772 (July 24, 2026) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

[4] *Id.*

[5] *See* GOM's Letter, ''Case Brief of the Government of the Kingdom of Morocco,'' dated August 24, 2026; *see also* OCP's Letter, ''OCP S.A. Case Brief,'' dated August 24, 2026.

[6] *See* Mosaic's Letter, ''Petitioner's Rebuttal Brief,'' dated August 31, 2026; and Simplot's Letter, ''Simplot's Rebuttal Brief,'' dated August 31, 2026.

[7] *See* Memorandum, ''Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the First Full Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Phosphate Fertilizers from the Kingdom of Morocco,'' dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(b) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the *Order* would be likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the following net countervailable subsidy rates:

Producer/exporter	Subsidy rate (percent *ad valorem*)
OCP S.A	20.04
All Others	20.04

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective orders, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(b), and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).

Dated: October 28, 2026.

Scot Fullerton,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix

List of Issues Addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the *Order*
IV. History of the *Order*
V. Legal Framework
VI. Discussion of the Issues
1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of a Countervailable Subsidy
Comment 1: Whether Programs Previously Found to be Countervailable Have Undergone Substantial Changes Affecting the Net Countervailable Subsidies
Comment 2: Whether Commerce Incorrectly Found that the Government Loan Guarantees are Likely to Continue or Recur
Comment 3: Whether Commerce Incorrectly Relied on a Rate Applied Using Adverse Facts Available (AFA) to Support a Finding that OCP, S.A.'s (OCP) Payroll Tax Refund is Likely to Continue or Recur

Comment 4: Whether Commerce Correctly Incorporated Judicial Decisions in Its Findings
2. Net Countervailable Subsidy Likely to Prevail
Comment 5: Whether Commerce Properly Calculated the Net Subsidy Rate Likely to Prevail in the Event of Revocation
3. Nature of the Subsidies
VII. Final Results of Review
VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2026–22435 Filed 11–2–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–122–858]

Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada: Notice of NAFTA Panel Decision

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 19, 2026, the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) Secretariat issued a Notice of Final Panel Action. The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) is notifying the public that the NAFTA Binational Panel's final judgment is not in harmony with Commerce's final determination in the countervailing duty (CVD) investigation of certain softwood lumber products (lumber) from Canada, and that Commerce is amending the final determination and the resulting CVD order with respect to the countervailable subsidy rate assigned to Canfor Corporation (Canfor); J.D. Irving, Limited (J.D. Irving); Resolute FP Canada Inc. (Resolute); Tolko Marketing and Sales Ltd. (Tolko); West Fraser Mills Ltd. (West Fraser); and all other companies.

DATES: Applicable October 29, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Samuel Brummitt, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–7851.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 8, 2017, Commerce published its *Final Determination* in the CVD investigation of lumber from Canada.[1] The *Final Determination* was subsequently amended.[2] Respondent parties subsequently challenged Commerce's final determination before the NAFTA Binational Panel, pursuant to Article 1904 of NAFTA. The parties briefed and argued the case before the Panel and, on March 6, 2024, the Panel issued its decision, affirming in part and remanding in part Commerce's determination.[3] On December 17, 2024, Commerce issued its *First Remand Determination*.[4] On March 6, 2026, the Panel issued its decision on remand, affirming in part and remanding in part Commerce's *First Remand Determination*.[5] On June 17, 2026, Commerce issued its *Second Remand Determination*.[6] By decision on October 5, 2026, the NAFTA Panel affirmed Commerce's *Second Remand Determination*.[7] On October 19, 2026, the Panel directed the NAFTA Secretariat to issue a Notice of Final Panel Action; the NAFTA Secretariat subsequently issued the Notice of Final Panel Action on October 19, 2026.

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken,*[8] as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades,*[9] the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to sections 516A(c) and (e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of court decision that is not ''in harmony'' with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a ''conclusive'' court decision. Because NAFTA panels step into the shoes of the courts they are replacing, they must apply the law of the national court that would otherwise review the administrative determination. Therefore, we are publishing notice that the NAFTA Panel's Panel Decision on Second Remand, as memorialized by the NAFTA Secretariat's October 19, 2026, Notice of Final Panel Action, is ''not in harmony'' with the *Final Determination*.[10] Publication of this notice fulfills the obligation imposed upon Commerce by the decision in *Timken.*

Amended Final Determination

Having received a Notice of Final Panel Action from the NAFTA Secretariat, Commerce is amending its *Final Determination* with respect to Canfor, J.D. Irving, Resolute, Tolko, West Fraser, and all other producers and exporters as follows:

Company	Original *final determination* subsidy rate (percent)	Subsidy rate post- notice of final action (percent)
Canfor Corporation and its cross-owned affiliates[11]	13.24	12.49
J.D. Irving Limited and its cross-owned affiliates[12]	3.34	2.96
Resolute FP Canada Inc. and its cross-owned affiliates[13]	14.70	13.90
Tolko Marketing and Sales Ltd. and its cross-owned affiliates[14]	14.85	11.01
West Fraser Mills Ltd. and its cross-owned affiliates[15]	17.99	16.73
All Others	14.19	12.80

[1] *See Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination, and Final Negative Determination of Critical Circumstances,* 82 FR 51814 (November 8, 2017) (*Final Determination*).

[2] *See Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Amended Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Countervailing Duty Order,* 83 FR 347 (January 3, 2018) (*Amended Final Determination*).

[3] *See Decision of the Panel, In the Matter of Certain Softwood Lumber from Canada: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination, Secretariat File No. USA–CDA–2017–1904–02 NAFTA Binational Panel Review,* dated May 6, 2024.

[4] *See Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Binational Panel Order, Secretariat File No. USA–CDA–2017–1904–02,* dated December 17, 2024 (*First Remand Redetermination*).

[5] *See Decision of the Panel, In the Matter of Certain Softwood Lumber from Canada: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination, Secretariat File No. USA–CDA–2017–1904–02 NAFTA Binational Panel Review,* dated March 6, 2026 (Panel Decision on Second Remand).

[6] *See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Second Binational Panel Order In the Matter of Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination,* Secretariat File No. USA–CDA–2017–1904–02 (*Second Remand Determination*).

[7] *See* Decision of the Panel on Second Remand Determination, *In the Matter of Certain Softwood Lumber from Canada: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination,* Secretariat File No. USA–CDA–2017–1904–02 NAFTA Binational Panel Review.

[8] *See Timken Co.* v. *United States,* 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

[9] See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers Coalition* v. *United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).

[10] *See* Notice of Final Panel Action, dated October 19, 2026.