Docket No.	Applicant	Instrument
26–117	Rice University	neofleX MALDI–TOF/TOF MS System.
26–119	University at Buffalo	MyoDish.
26–120	University of California Santa Barbara	Energy and angle resolved electron analyzer.
26–128	Fermi Forward Discovery Group, LLC	8kW Solid State Amplifier.
26–131	University of Rochester	Electron Microscope
26–134	University of Delaware	Ultra-High Vacuum System for X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy and Angle-resolved Photoemission Spectroscopy.
26–135	Texas Tech University	SpectromagPT system low voltage with 7T Magnet.
26–138	Washington and Lee University	inVia Qontor Spectrometer System.
26–147	Regents of University of California at Berkeley	Dilution Refrigerator.

Dated: November 3, 2026.

Lana Nigro,

Acting Director, Subsidies Enforcement, Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2026–22698 Filed 11–5–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–552–802]

Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2024–2025

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that producers/exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of certain frozen warmwater shrimp (shrimp) from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Vietnam) at prices below normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR), February 1, 2024, through January 31, 2025. Additionally, Commerce determines that 29 exporters are eligible for separate rates.

DATES: Applicable November 6, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jonathan Schueler or Matthew Lipka, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–9175 or (202) 482–7976, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 13, 2026, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review and invited interested parties to comment.[1] On August 18, 2026, Commerce extended the deadline to issue these final results by 53 days.[2] Accordingly, the deadline for these final results is now November 2, 2026.

For the events subsequent to the *Preliminary Results, see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.[3] The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at *https://access.trade.gov.* In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at *https://access.trade.gov/frnotices.*

Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order [4]

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is shrimp from Vietnam. For a full description of the scope of the *Order, see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.[5]

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised by interested parties in the case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is provided in Appendix I to this notice.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and our analysis of comments received from interested parties, and for the reasons explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we made certain changes to the weighted-average dumping margins applied to the two mandatory respondents, Sao Ta Foods Joint Stock Company/FIMEX VN/Sao Ta Seafood Factory/Khang An Foods Joint Stock Company (collectively, Fimex Group) [6] and Soc Trang Seafood Joint Stock Company; STAPIMEX (STAPIMEX), and the weighted-average dumping margin applicable to the non-examined companies that are eligible for a separate rate.

Use of Adverse Facts Available

For these final results, we are relying on adverse facts available (AFA), pursuant to sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act, in part, to value certain of STAPIMEX's chemical factors of production (FOP).[7] This is because STAPIMEX failed to cooperate by not acting to the best of its abilities to comply with our requests to provide descriptions of these chemical FOPs.[8] As partial AFA, we used the highest reported chemical surrogate value on

[1] *See Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2024–2025,* 91 FR 27002 (May 13, 2026) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

[2] *See* Memorandum, ''Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,'' dated August 18, 2026.

[3] *See* Memorandum, ''Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam; 2024–2025,'' dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

[4] *See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam,* 70 FR 5152 (February 1, 2005) (*Order*).

[5] *See* Issues and Decision Memorandum; *see also Preliminary Results* PDM at 3–4.

[6] In the thirteenth administrative review, Commerce determined that that Sao Ta Foods Joint Stock Company, aka Fimex VN and Sao Ta Seafood Factory are affiliated within the meaning of section 771(33) of the Act and comprise a single entity pursuant to 19 CFR 351.401(f). *See Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 2017–2018,* 84 FR 44859, 44860 (August 27, 2019). In the *Preliminary Results,* Commerce preliminarily determined that Khanh An Foods Joint Stock Company is affiliated with Sao Ta Foods Joint Stock Company, aka Fimex VN and Sao Ta Seafood Factory within the meaning of section 771(33) of the Act and compromises a single entity pursuant to 19 CFR 351.401(f). We find no evidence to change our decision, and we therefore continue to treat these companies as a single entity pursuant to 19 CFR 351.401(f) for these final results.

[7] *See* Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 4.

[8] *Id.; see also Preliminary Results* PDM at 5–9.

the record of this review as the surrogate value for the five chemical FOPs with inadequate descriptions.[9]

Separate Rates

Commerce received comments from the Fimex Group on Commerce's preliminary separate rate determinations.[10] Commerce addressed these comments in the Issues and Decision Memorandum and continues to find that the 29 companies listed in Appendix II are eligible for a separate rate, including the two mandatory respondents (*i.e.,* the Fimex Group and STAPIMEX).

The Act and Commerce's regulations do not address the establishment of a separate rate to be applied to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in an investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for separate-rate respondents which Commerce did not examine individually in an administrative review.

For the final results of this review, Commerce has determined the weighted-average dumping margin for the Fimex Group to be 10.71 percent, and for STAPIMEX to be 6.36 percent.[11] For the reasons explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we are assigning the weighted-average of the dumping margins calculated for the Fimex Group and STAPIMEX to the non-examined respondents which qualify for a separate rate in this review.[12]

Vietnam-Wide Entity

In our *Preliminary Results,* we found that 132 companies failed to establish their eligibility for a separate rate and are considered to be part of the Vietnam-wide entity.[13] Commerce received comments from the Fimex Group on the list of companies that were preliminarily considered to be part of the Vietnam-wide entity.[14] Commerce addressed these comments in the Issues and Decision Memorandum and continues to find that 131 companies listed in Appendix III, which includes all companies listed in the *Preliminary Results* except for Khang An Foods Joint Stock Company, to be part of the Vietnam-wide entity.[15]

Because no party requested a review of the Vietnam-wide entity, and Commerce no longer considers the Vietnam-wide entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews,[16] we did not conduct a review of the Vietnam-wide entity. Thus, the weighted-average dumping margin for the Vietnam-wide entity (*i.e.,* 25.76 percent), is not subject to change as a result of this review.

Final Results of Administrative Review

Commerce determines that the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period February 1, 2024, through January 31, 2025:

Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Sao Ta Foods Joint Stock Company/FIMEX VN/Sao Ta Seafood Factory/Khang An Foods Joint Stock Company	10.71
Soc Trang Seafood Joint Stock Company; STAPIMEX	6.36
Separate Rate Companies [17]	7.59

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose its calculations and analysis performed to interested parties for these preliminary results within five days of any public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

For the Fimex Group and STAPIMEX, because the weighted-average dumping margins are not zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.,* less than 0.50 percent) in these final results, Commerce calculated importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those sales. Where we do not have entered values for all U.S. sales to a particular importer, we will calculate an importer-specific, per-unit assessment rate on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total quantity of those sales.[18] To determine whether an importer-specific, per-unit assessment rate is *de minimis,* in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we also will calculate an importer-specific *ad valorem* ratio based on estimated entered values.

For the 27 separate rate applicants that were not selected for individual examination in this administrative review but qualified for a separate rate, which have been assigned a weighted-average dumping margin that is not zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.,* less than 0.50 percent), Commerce will instruct, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise at the liquidation rates specified in the table above.

For sales that were not reported in the U.S. sales data submitted by the mandatory respondents, we will instruct CBP to liquidate entries associated with those sales at the rate for the Vietnam-wide entity (*i.e.,* 25.76 percent). For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by the mandatory respondents for which they did not know their merchandise was destined for the United States, we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at

[9] *See Preliminary Results* PDM at 9; *see also* Memorandum, ''Analysis for the Preliminary Results for Soc Trang Seafood Joint Stock Company,'' dated May 7, 2026; and Memorandum, ''Surrogate Values for the Preliminary Results,'' dated May 7, 2026.

[10] *See* Fimex Group's Letter, ''Case Brief,'' dated June 12, 2026 (Fimex's Case Brief), at 25–26.

[11] *See* Memorandum, ''Final Results Analysis Memorandum for the Fimex Group,'' dated concurrently with this notice; *see also* Memorandum, ''Final Results Analysis Memorandum for STAPIMEX,'' dated concurrently with this notice.

[12] *See* Memorandum, ''Calculation of the Final Dumping Margin for Respondents Not Selected for Individual Examination,'' dated concurrently with this notice.

[13] *See Preliminary Results* at Appendix III.

[14] *See* Fimex's Case Brief at 25–26.

[15] *See* Appendix III.

[16] *See Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings,* 78 FR 65969–70 (November 4, 2013).

[17] *See* Appendix II.

[18] *See* 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

the Vietnam-wide rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company or companies involved in the transaction.

With regard to the 131 companies identified in Appendix III to this notice as part of the Vietnam-wide entity, we will instruct CBP to apply an *ad valorem* assessment rate of 25.76 percent to all POR entries of subject merchandise which were exported by those companies.

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.,* within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) for the mandatory respondents listed above, which have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review and listed in the table above; (2) for previously-examined Vietnamese and non-Vietnamese exporters not listed in Appendix II that received a separate rate in a prior completed segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific cash deposit rate; (3) for all non-Vietnamese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Vietnamese exporter that supplied that non-Vietnamese exporter; and (4) for all Vietnamese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the Vietnam-wide entity, (*i.e.,* 25.76 percent). These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties, and/or an increase in the amount of antidumping duties by the amount of the countervailing duties.

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: November 2, 2026.

Scot Fullerton,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the *Order*
IV. Separate Rates
V. Application of Facts Available and Use of Adverse Inference
VI. Discussion of the Issues
  Comment 1: Whether to Revise the Surrogate Value for Shrimp Feed
  Comment 2: Whether to Apply the Average-to-Average Method to Calculate the Fimex Group's Dumping Margin
  Comment 3: Whether to Correct an Error in the Fimex Group's Raw Shrimp Surrogate Value Calculation
  Comment 4: Whether to Apply Partial Facts Available to Some of STAPIMEX's Chemicals
  Comment 5: Whether to Grant a By-Product Offset for STAPIMEX
  Comment 6: Whether to Revise the Export Subsidy Offset
  Comment 7: Whether Khang An Foods Joint Stock Company Is Part of the Vietnam-Wide Entity
VII. Recommendation

Appendix II

Companies Eligible for Separate Rate Status [19]

1. Bac Lieu Fisheries Joint Stock Company; Bac Lieu Fis
2. Camau Seafood Processing and Service Joint Stock Corporation; Camau Seafood Processing and Joint-Stock Corporation; CASES
3. Camimex Group Joint Stock Company; Camimex Group [20]
4. Cantho Import Export Fishery Limited Company; CAFISH
5. Cuulong Seaproducts Company; Cuulong Seapro
6. Hai Viet Corporation; HAVICO
7. Khanh Sung Company, Ltd.; Khanh Sung Co., LTD
8. Minh Hai Joint-Stock Seafoods Processing Company; Seaprodex Minh Hai; Sea Minh Hai
9. Ngoc Tri Seafood Joint Stock Company; Ngoc Tri Seafood Company
10. Q N L Company Limited
11. Sao Ta Foods Joint Stock Company; FIMEX VN; Sao Ta Seafood Factory; Khang An Foods Joint Stock Company [21]
12. Seaprimexco Vietnam; Seaprimexco
13. Seavina Joint Stock Company; Seavina
14. Soc Trang Seafood Joint Stock Company; STAPIMEX
15. Thong Thuan Company Limited; T&T Co., LTD; Thong Thuan Cam Ranh Seafood Joint Stock Company [22]
16. Tai Kim Anh Seafood Joint Stock Corporation; TAIKA Seafood Corporation
17. Thuan Phuoc Seafoods and Trading Corporation; Thuan Phuoc Corp
18. Frozen Seafoods Factory No. 32
19. Seafoods and Foodstuff Factory
20. Trang Khanh Trading Company Limited.; Trang Khanh Seafood Co., Ltd.
21. Trong Nhan Seafood Company Limited; Trong Nhan Seafood
22. UTXI Aquatic Products Processing

[19] We have included in this list certain name variations that are included in the separate rate application/certification, and, thus are included in the separate rate, but were listed separately in the *Initiation Notice,* or not at all.

[20] Interested parties requested a review of, and we listed in the *Initiation Notice,* Camau Frozen Seafood Processing Import Export Corporation, but Commerce has previously determined that Camimex Group Joint Stock Company is the successor-in-interest to Camau Frozen Seafood Processing Import Export Corporation, so has only listed Camimex Group Joint Stock Company in this notice. *See Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review,* 86 FR 47617, (August 26, 2021).

[21] As noted above, Commerce has determined that these entities are affiliated within the meaning of section 771(33) of the Act and comprise a single entity pursuant to 19 CFR 351.401(f).

[22] Commerce has previously determined that these entities are affiliated within the meaning of section 771(33) of the Act and comprise a single entity pursuant to 19 CFR 351.401(f). *See Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of and Final Rescission of Review, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2023–2024,* 91 FR 8429 (February 23, 2026).

Corporation; UTXICO
23. Viet I-Mei Frozen Foods Co., Ltd.; Viet I-Mei
24. Viet Nam Clean Seafood Corporation; Vietnam Clean Seafood Corporation; Vina Cleanfood
25. New Generation Seafood Joint Stock Company
26. Nha Trang Seafoods—F89 Joint Stock Company; NTSF Seafoods Joint Stock Company; NT Seafoods Corporation; Nha Trang Seaproduct Company [23]
27. Quang Minh Seafood Limited Liability Company; Quang Minh Seafood Co., Ltd [24]
28. Thong Thuan Tra Vinh Seafood Joint Stock Company
29. Viet Shrimp Corporation

Appendix III

Companies Under Review Determined To Be Part of the Vietnam-Wide Entity [25]

1. AFoods
2. Amanda Seafood Co., Ltd.
3. An Nguyen Investment Production and Group
4. Anh Khoa Seafood
5. Anh Minh Quan Corp
6. APT Co.
7. Au Vung One Seafood
8. Baclieufis
9. Bentre Forestry and Aquaproduct Import-Export Joint Stock Company aka FAQUIMEX Bentre Seafood Joint Stock Company
10. Beseaco
11. Bien Dong Seafood Co., Ltd.
12. Binh Dong Fisheries Joint Stock Company
13. Binh Thuan Import-Export Joint Stock Company
14. Blue Bay Seafood Co., Ltd.
15. Ca Mau Frozen Seafood Processing Import Export Corporation
16. Ca Mau Seafood Joint Stock Company
17. Ca Mau Seafood Processing and Services Joint Stock Company
18. Cadovimex
19. Cadovimex II Seafood Import Export and Processing Joint Stock Company
20. Cadovimex Seafood Import-Export and Processing Joint Stock Company [26]
21. Camimex Foods Joint Stock Company
22. Caseamex
23. CASES Kien Giang Branch Camau Seafood Processing & Service Joint Stock Corporation
24. CJ Cau Tre Foods Joint Stock Company
25. Coastal Fisheries Development Corporation
26. COFIDEC
27. Dai Phat Tien Seafood Co., Ltd.
28. Danang Seafood Import Export
29. Danang Seaproducts Import-Export Corporation
30. Domenal Joint Stock Company
31. Dong Hai Seafood Limited Company
32. Dong Phuong Seafood Co., Ltd.
33. Duc Cuong Seafood Trading Co., Ltd.
34. Duong Hung Seafood
35. FFC/Fine Foods Company
36. Gallant Dachan Seafood Co., Ltd.
37. Gallant Ocean (Vietnam) Joint Stock Company
38. GN Foods Joint Stock Company
39. Go Dang Joint Stock Company
40. GODACO Seafood
41. Green Farms Seafood Joint Stock Company
42. Hanh An Trading Service Co., Ltd.
43. Hoang Anh Fisheries Trading Company Limited
44. Hoang Phong Seafood Co.
45. Hong Ngoc Seafood Co., Ltd.
46. Hung Bang Company Limited
47. Hung Dong Investment Service Trading Co., Ltd.
48. HungHau Agricultural Joint Stock Company
49. Investment Commerce Fisheries Corporation
50. JK Fish Co., Ltd.
51. Khang An Foods Aquatic Products Joint Stock Company
52. Khanh Hoa Seafoods Exporting Company
53. KHASPEXCO
54. Kim Phat Seafood Import Export Company
55. Long Toan Frozen Aquatic Products Joint Stock Company
56. MC Seafood
57. Minh Anh Seafood Import Export
58. Minh Bach Seafood Company Limited
59. Minh Cuong Seafood Import Export Processing Joint Stock Company
60. Minh Hai Export Frozen Seafood Processing Joint-Stock Company, aka Minh Hai Jostoco
61. Minh Phat Seafood Company Limited [27]
62. Minh Phu Hau Giang Seafood [28]
63. Minh Phu Seafood Corporation [29]
64. Minh Qui Seafood Company Limited [30]
65. My Son Seafoods Factory
66. Nam Hai Foodstuff and Export Company Ltd.
67. Nam Phuong Foods Import Export Company Limited
68. Nam Viet Seafood Import Export Joint Stock Company/NAVIMEXCO
69. Namcan Seaproducts Import Export Joint Stock Company
70. New Wind Seafood Company Limited
71. Ngoc Trinh Bac Lieu Seafood Co., Ltd.
72. Nguyen Chi Aquatic Product Trading Company Limited
73. Nhat Duc Co., Ltd.
74. Nigico Co., Ltd.
75. Phuong Nam Foodstuff Corp.
76. QAIMEXCO
77. QNL One Member Company
78. Quoc Ai Seafood Processing Import Export Co., Ltd.[31]
79. Quoc Toan PTE
80. Quoc Toan Seafood Processing Factory
81. Quy Nhon Frozen Seafoods Joint Stock Company
82. Safe And Fresh Aquatic Products Joint Stock Company
83. Saigon Aquatic Product Trading Joint Stock Company
84. Saigon Food Joint Stock Company
85. Savvy Seafood Vietnam Co., Ltd.

[23] Commerce has previously determined that these entities are affiliated within the meaning of section 771(33) of the Act and comprise a single entity pursuant to 19 CFR 351.401(f). *See Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Preliminary Results, Partial Rescission, and Request for Revocation, In Part, of the Fifth Administrative Review,* 76 FR 12054, 12056 (March 4, 2011), unchanged in *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,* 76 FR 56158 (September 12, 2011).

[24] We inadvertently listed this company along with Quoc Ai Seafood Processing Import Export Co., Ltd, but it is a separate company that was requested separately by interested parties. *See* the US Shrimpers Coalition's Letter, ''Request for Administrative Review,'' dated February 28, 2025 (USSC's Review Request) at 4; *see also* the American Shrimp Processors Association's Letter, ''America Shrimp Processors Association's Request for Administrative Reviews,'' dated February 25, 2025 (ASPA's Review Request) at 7; and the Ad Hoc Shrimp Trade Action Committee's Letter, ''Request for Administrative Reviews,'' dated February 28, 2025 (AHSTAC's Review Request) at 3.

[25] *See* Memorandum, ''Names Not Granted Separate Rate Status at the Preliminary Determination,'' dated May 7, 2026.

[26] We inadvertently listed this company along with Cafatex Fishery Joint Stock Corporation/ Cafatex Corporation, but it is a separate company that was requested separately by interested parties. *See* ASPA's Review Request at 2; *see also* USSC's Review Request at 3.

[27] Shrimp produced and exported by Minh Phat Seafood Company Limited were excluded from the antidumping duty order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp from Vietnam, effective July 18, 2016. *See Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Notice of Implementation of Determination Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and Partial Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order,* 81 FR 47756, 47757–47758 (July 22, 2016). Accordingly, we initiated this administrative review for this exporter only with respect to subject merchandise produced by another entity.

[28] Shrimp produced and exported by Minh Phu Hau Giang Seafood were excluded from the antidumping duty order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp from Vietnam, effective July 18, 2016. *See Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Notice of Implementation of Determination Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and Partial Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order,* 81 FR 47756, 47757–47758 (July 22, 2016). Accordingly, we initiated this administrative review for this exporter only with respect to subject merchandise produced by another entity.

[29] Shrimp produced and exported by Minh Phu Seafood Corporation were excluded from the antidumping duty order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp from Vietnam, effective July 18, 2016. *See Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Notice of Implementation of Determination Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and Partial Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order,* 81 FR 47756, 47757–47758 (July 22, 2016). Accordingly, we initiated this administrative review for this exporter only with respect to subject merchandise produced by another entity.

[30] Shrimp produced and exported by Minh Qui Seafood Company Limited were excluded from the antidumping duty order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp from Vietnam, effective July 18, 2016. *See Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Notice of Implementation of Determination Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and Partial Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order,* 81 FR 47756, 47757–47758 (July 22, 2016). Accordingly, we initiated this administrative review for this exporter only with respect to subject merchandise produced by another entity.

[31] We inadvertently listed this company along with Quang Minh Seafood Co., Ltd, but it is a separate company that was requested separately by interested parties. *See* Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

86. SEADANANG
87. Seafood Direct 2012 One Member Limited
88. Seafood Joint Stock Company No. 4
89. Seafood Travel Construction Import-Export Joint Stock Company
90. Seanamico
91. Seaprodex Min Hai
92. Seaprodex Minh Hai Factory No. 69
93. Seaprodex Minh Hai Factory No. 78
94. Seaprodex Minh Hai Workshop 1
95. Seaproducts Joint Stock Company No. 5/Vietrosco
96. Seaspimex Vietnam
97. Simmy Seafood Company Limited
98. South Ha Tinh Seaproducts Import-Export Joint Stock Company
99. South Vina Shrimp-SVS
100. Southern Shrimp Joint Stock Company
101. Special Aquatic Products Joint Stock Company
102. T & P Seafood Company Limited
103. T&T Cam Ranh
104. Tai Nguyen Seafood Co., Ltd.
105. Tan Phong Phu Seafood Co., Ltd.
106. Tan Thanh Loi Frozen Food Co., Ltd.
107. THADIMEXCO
108. Thai Hoa Foods Joint Stock Company
109. Thai Minh Long Seafood Company Limited
110. Thaimex
111. Thanh Doan Fisheries Import-Export Joint Stock Company
112. Thanh Doan Sea Products Import & Export Processing Joint-Stock Company
113. Thanh Doan Seafood Import Export Trading Joint-Stock Company
114. The Light Seafood Company Limited
115. Thien Phu Export Seafood
116. Thinh Hung Co., Ltd.
117. Thinh Phu Aquatic Products Trading Co., Ltd.
118. Thuan Thien Producing Trading Ltd. Co.
119. Tin An Seafood Factory
120. TPP Co. Ltd.
121. Trang Corporation (Vietnam)
122. Trung Son Seafood Processing Joint Stock Company
123. Van Duc Food Company Limited
124. Viet Asia Foods Company Limited (VAFCO)
125. Viet Phu Foods and Fish Corp.
126. Vietnam Rich Beauty Food Co., Ltd.
127. VIFAFOOD
128. Vinh Hoan Corp.
129. Vinh Phat Food Joint Stock Company
130. Western Foods Company Limited
131. XNK Thinh Phat Processing Company

[FR Doc. 2026–22660 Filed 11–5–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–580–888]

Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-to-Length Plate From the Republic of Korea: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With the Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; Notice of Amended Final Results

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 27, 2026, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) issued its final judgment in *POSCO* v. *United States* Consol. Court No. 24–00006, Slip Op. 26–131, sustaining the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce)'s second remand results pertaining to the administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on of certain carbon and alloy steel cut-to-length plate (CTL Plate) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) covering the period January 1, 2021, through December 31, 2021. Commerce is notifying the public that the CIT's final judgment is not in harmony with Commerce's final results of the administrative review, and that Commerce is amending the final results with respect to countervailable subsidy rate assigned to POSCO Co., Ltd. (POSCO).

DATES: Applicable November 6, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rachel Accorsi or Joshua Nixon, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–7472 or (202) 482–8361, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 13, 2023, Commerce published its *Final Results* in the 2021 CVD administrative review of CTL Plate from Korea.[1] In the *Final Results,* Commerce found that POSCO, the sole mandatory respondent, received countervailable subsidies from the Government of Korea (GOK) during the period of review (POR). Commerce calculated an overall net subsidy rate of 0.87 percent *ad valorem*.[2]

POSCO appealed Commerce's *Final Results.* On August 8, 2025, the CIT remanded the *Final Results* to Commerce, directing Commerce to reconsider the following: (1) Commerce's finding that the provision of electricity for less than adequate renumeration (LTAR) in Korea was *de facto* specific under section 771(5A)(D)(iii)(III) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act); (2) Commerce's finding that the provision of Korean Emission Trading System (K–ETS) permits provided a financial contribution in the form of revenue forgone within the meaning of 771(5)(D) of the Act; and (3) Commerce's finding that the provision of K–ETS permits is specific under section 771(5A)(D)(i) of the Act.[3]

In the *First Final Remand Redetermination,* issued on December 9, 2025, Commerce determined that: (1) there was a lack of evidence on the record to find the provision of electricity for LTAR *de facto* specific under section 771(5A)(D)(iii)(III) of the Act and therefore found the provision of electricity for LTAR not countervailable; (2) the provision of K–ETS permits by the GOK provided a financial contribution in the form of a direct transfer of funds within the meaning of section 771(5)(D)(i) of the Act; and (3) the provision of K–ETS permits is contingent upon export performance in accordance with sections 771(5A)(A) and (B) of the Act.[4]

On April 17, 2026, the CIT issued its *Second Remand Order*[5] which sustained Commerce on its findings regarding (1) provision of electricity for LTAR and (2) the financial contribution of K–ETS permits. However, the CIT found that Commerce's determination that the provision of K–ETS permits was export contingent was not in accordance with law and remanded the third issue, Commerce's specificity finding for the provision of K–ETS permits, to Commerce for further consideration.[6]

In the *Second Final Remand Redetermination,*[7] issued on July 11,

[1] *See Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-to-Length Plate from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2021,* 88 FR 86318 (December 13, 2023) (*Final Results*), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.

[2] *See Final Results,* 88 FR at 86319.

[3] *See POSCO* v. *United States,* 794 F.Supp.3d 1402 (CIT 2025) (*Remand Order*).

[4] *See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Second Court Remand: POSCO* v. *United States,* Court No. 24 00006, Slip Op. 25–100, dated December 9, 2025 (*First Final Remand Redetermination*), available at *https://access.trade.gov/FinalRemandRedetermination.*

[5] *See POSCO* v. *United States,* 829 F.Supp.3d 1298 (CIT 2026) (*Second Remand Order*).

[6] *Id.* at 15–17.

[7] *See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Second Court Remand: POSCO* v. *United States,* Court No. 24 00006, Slip Op. 26–36, dated July 22,