6. Explain the Limits of the Remedy and Cooperation Provisions

The proposed judgment requires cooperation but caps voluntary interviews under that provision at 15 employees and 60 total hours. Formal discovery and civil investigative demands remain available. Even so, given the alleged duration, nationwide scope, and technical nature of the conduct, the Department should explain why those ceilings are appropriate for voluntary cooperation, or replace them with a reasonable-necessity standard.

The Competitive Impact Statement also recognizes that private parties may pursue damages and that the proposed judgment will have no prima facie effect in private litigation. The settlement contains no restitution or monetary relief for renters. I recognize that a Tunney Act review is not an individual claims process and that the Court's role is not to rewrite the government's case. Still, the Department should explain why forward-looking relief without monetary redress is adequate in light of the complaint's allegations and what public compliance information will allow potentially affected renters to understand whether the challenged practices have actually stopped.

Requested Disposition

I respectfully ask the Department to modify the proposed Final Judgment to include the safeguards above before entry. At minimum, the Department's response to public comments should address each concern with enough specificity for the Court to evaluate whether the decree's verification, transparency, duration, coverage, and cooperation provisions are adequate for a company of Willow Bridge's scale.

The decree should not depend on the public simply trusting the participants and vendors whose future conduct it is designed to constrain. Durable relief requires independent testing, proportionate auditing, limited but meaningful transparency, and a public basis for any early termination.

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

Sources Used:

1. U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, case page, *U.S. and Plaintiff States v. RealPage, Inc.*, updated Sept. 4, 2026: *https://www.justice.gov/atr/case/us-and-plaintiff-states-v-realpage-inc*
2. Proposed Final Judgment as to Willow Bridge Property Company LLC, filed July 6, 2026:
3. Competitive Impact Statement as to Willow Bridge Property Company LLC, filed July 6, 2026: *https://www.justice.gov/atr/media/1451166/dl*
4. Amended Complaint, filed Jan. 7, 2025: *https://www.justice.gov/atr/media/1383471/dl*
5. **Federal Register** public-inspection notice, scheduled for publication July 16, 2026: *https://public-inspection.federalregister.gov/2026-14345.pdf*
6. U.S. Department of Justice, ''Justice Department Reaches Proposed Settlement with Willow Bridge, One of America's Largest Landlords,'' July 7, 2026: *https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/justice-department-reaches-proposed-settlement-willow-bridge-one-americas-largest-landlords*
7. Willow Bridge Property Company, [REDACTED]
8. California Department of Real Estate, Willow Bridge Management Inc., corporation license 01319176 and branch listing: *https://www2.dre.ca.gov/publicasp/pplinfo.asp?License_id=01319176*
9. City of Chula Vista, 2022 staff report describing [REDACTED]
10. Electronic Code of Federal Regulations, 24 CFR 982.505, housing-assistance-payment calculation: *https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-24/subtitle-B/chapter-IX/part-982/subpart-K/section-982.505*
11. Electronic Code of Federal Regulations, 24 CFR 982.507, reasonable rent: *https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-24/subtitle-B/chapter-IX/part-982/subpart-K/section-982.507--*

[REDACTED]

''It is impossible to think of anything at all in the world, or even beyond it, that could be considered good *without limitation* except a good will.''

—Immanuel Kant (Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals)

[FR Doc. 2026–22832 Filed 11–6–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Membership of the Senior Executive Service and Senior Professional Standing Performance Review Boards

AGENCY: Department of Justice.

ACTION: Notice of Department of Justice's standing members of the Senior Executive Service and Senior Professional Performance Review Boards.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4), the Department of Justice announces the membership of its 2026 Senior Executive Service (SES) and Senior Professional (SP) Standing Performance Review Boards (PRBs). The purpose of a PRB is to provide fair and impartial review of SES and SP performance appraisals, executive development plans, and award recommendations/pay adjustments. The PRBs will make recommendations regarding the final performance ratings to be assigned, SES/SP awards and/or pay adjustments to be awarded.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Noah Fortinsky, Director and Deputy Chief Human Capital Officer, Office of Human Capital, Justice Management Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530; (202) 445–8033.

Noah Fortinsky,

Deputy Chief Human Capital Officer.

2026 Federal Register

List of Names (Alphabetical Order)

Brown Cutlar, Shanetta Y
Gabby, John J
Garza, Fernando G
Haar, Daniel E
Jag, Rachel L
Jones, Timothy W
Malphrus, Garry D
Maxey, Peter M
McManus, Doug
Merkle, Phillip K
Miller, Gwendolyn S
O'Neal, Jason N
Pelletier, Johnathan
Sage, Jessica
Serano, Alessandra
Taylor II, William N

[FR Doc. 2026–22813 Filed 11–6–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–CH–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1105–0095]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection; eComments Requested; Reinstatement of a Previously Approved Collection; Title: National Standards To Prevent, Detect, and Respond to Prison Rape Feedback Package

AGENCY: Office of Justice Programs, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 60-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Office of Justice Programs (OJP), Bureau of Justice (BJA), Department of Justice (DOJ), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until January 8, 2027.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments, especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Leanetta Jessie, 999 N Capitol St NE, *leanetta.jessie@usdoj.gov;* or (202) 598–1160.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should