6. Explain the Limits of the Remedy and Cooperation Provisions

The proposed judgment requires cooperation but caps voluntary interviews under that provision at 15 employees and 60 total hours. Formal discovery and civil investigative demands remain available. Even so, given the alleged duration, nationwide scope, and technical nature of the conduct, the Department should explain why those ceilings are appropriate for voluntary cooperation, or replace them with a reasonable-necessity standard.

The Competitive Impact Statement also recognizes that private parties may pursue damages and that the proposed judgment will have no prima facie effect in private litigation. The settlement contains no restitution or monetary relief for renters. I recognize that a Tunney Act review is not an individual claims process and that the Court's role is not to rewrite the government's case. Still, the Department should explain why forward-looking relief without monetary redress is adequate in light of the complaint's allegations and what public compliance information will allow potentially affected renters to understand whether the challenged practices have actually stopped.

Requested Disposition

I respectfully ask the Department to modify the proposed Final Judgment to include the safeguards above before entry. At minimum, the Department's response to public comments should address each concern with enough specificity for the Court to evaluate whether the decree's verification, transparency, duration, coverage, and cooperation provisions are adequate for a company of Willow Bridge's scale.

The decree should not depend on the public simply trusting the participants and vendors whose future conduct it is designed to constrain. Durable relief requires independent testing, proportionate auditing, limited but meaningful transparency, and a public basis for any early termination.

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

Sources Used:

1. U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, case page, *U.S. and Plaintiff States v. RealPage, Inc.*, updated Sept. 4, 2026: *https://www.justice.gov/atr/case/us-and-plaintiff-states-v-realpage-inc*
2. Proposed Final Judgment as to Willow Bridge Property Company LLC, filed July 6, 2026:
3. Competitive Impact Statement as to Willow Bridge Property Company LLC, filed July 6, 2026: *https://www.justice.gov/atr/media/1451166/dl*
4. Amended Complaint, filed Jan. 7, 2025: *https://www.justice.gov/atr/media/1383471/dl*
5. **Federal Register** public-inspection notice, scheduled for publication July 16, 2026: *https://public-inspection.federalregister.gov/2026-14345.pdf*
6. U.S. Department of Justice, ''Justice Department Reaches Proposed Settlement with Willow Bridge, One of America's Largest Landlords,'' July 7, 2026: *https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/justice-department-reaches-proposed-settlement-willow-bridge-one-americas-largest-landlords*
7. Willow Bridge Property Company, [REDACTED]
8. California Department of Real Estate, Willow Bridge Management Inc., corporation license 01319176 and branch listing: *https://www2.dre.ca.gov/publicasp/pplinfo.asp?License_id=01319176*
9. City of Chula Vista, 2022 staff report describing [REDACTED]
10. Electronic Code of Federal Regulations, 24 CFR 982.505, housing-assistance-payment calculation: *https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-24/subtitle-B/chapter-IX/part-982/subpart-K/section-982.505*
11. Electronic Code of Federal Regulations, 24 CFR 982.507, reasonable rent: *https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-24/subtitle-B/chapter-IX/part-982/subpart-K/section-982.507--*

[REDACTED]

''It is impossible to think of anything at all in the world, or even beyond it, that could be considered good *without limitation* except a good will.''

—Immanuel Kant (Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals)

[FR Doc. 2026–22832 Filed 11–6–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Membership of the Senior Executive Service and Senior Professional Standing Performance Review Boards

AGENCY: Department of Justice.

ACTION: Notice of Department of Justice's standing members of the Senior Executive Service and Senior Professional Performance Review Boards.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4), the Department of Justice announces the membership of its 2026 Senior Executive Service (SES) and Senior Professional (SP) Standing Performance Review Boards (PRBs). The purpose of a PRB is to provide fair and impartial review of SES and SP performance appraisals, executive development plans, and award recommendations/pay adjustments. The PRBs will make recommendations regarding the final performance ratings to be assigned, SES/SP awards and/or pay adjustments to be awarded.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Noah Fortinsky, Director and Deputy Chief Human Capital Officer, Office of Human Capital, Justice Management Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530; (202) 445–8033.

Noah Fortinsky,

Deputy Chief Human Capital Officer.

2026 Federal Register

List of Names (Alphabetical Order)

Brown Cutlar, Shanetta Y
Gabby, John J
Garza, Fernando G
Haar, Daniel E
Jag, Rachel L
Jones, Timothy W
Malphrus, Garry D
Maxey, Peter M
McManus, Doug
Merkle, Phillip K
Miller, Gwendolyn S
O'Neal, Jason N
Pelletier, Johnathan
Sage, Jessica
Serano, Alessandra
Taylor II, William N

[FR Doc. 2026–22813 Filed 11–6–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–CH–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1105–0095]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection; eComments Requested; Reinstatement of a Previously Approved Collection; Title: National Standards To Prevent, Detect, and Respond to Prison Rape Feedback Package

AGENCY: Office of Justice Programs, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 60-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Office of Justice Programs (OJP), Bureau of Justice (BJA), Department of Justice (DOJ), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until January 8, 2027.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments, especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Leanetta Jessie, 999 N Capitol St NE, *leanetta.jessie@usdoj.gov;* or (202) 598–1160.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should

address one or more of the following four points:

—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the (component), including whether the information will have practical utility;

—Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

—Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and

—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.,* permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

1. *Type of Information Collection:* Reinstatement of a previously approved collection.

2. *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA).

3. *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* OMB #1105–0095.

Affected Public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:

Affected Public: Federal, State, and Tribal.

Abstract: The information collected through the PREA OAS is used by DOJ-certified PREA auditors to assess whether confinement facilities comply with the PREA Standards. Facilities submit documentation and responses through the system, and auditors review those materials, conduct interviews, perform on-site assessments, evaluate compliance with individual standards, and prepare audit reports.

The information enables auditors to:

—Assess facility policies, procedures, and operational practices against PREA Standards;

—Verify implementation of safeguards designed to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment;

—Document compliance findings and corrective actions;

—Generate standardized audit reports; and

—Provide information necessary for DOJ oversight of PREA auditors and PREA implementation efforts nationwide.

The information collection also supports state and local correctional agencies in demonstrating compliance with PREA and assists states, territories, and the District of Columbia in meeting statutory certification requirements associated with PREA implementation.

4. *Obligation to Respond:* Affected public the affected public are individuals. The obligation to respond is voluntary.

5. *Total Estimated Number of Respondents:* 13,000. One PREA Coordinator per facility.

6. *Estimated Time per Respondent:* 8 Hours.

7. *Frequency:* Once every three years.

8. *Total Estimated Annual Time Burden:* 104,000 hours.

9. *Total Estimated Annual Other Costs Burden:*

If additional information is required contact: Darwin Arceo, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Enterprise Portfolio Management, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, 4W–218, Washington, DC.

Dated: November 5, 2026.

Darwin Arceo,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2026–22816 Filed 11–6–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–18–P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

AGENCY: U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice of a modified system of records.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) proposes to modify and republish in full a system of records previously titled ''OPM/Central-21, Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey'' which will be renamed ''OPM/Central-21, Annual Employee Survey.'' The modification is necessary to reflect the replacement of OPM's centrally administered Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey (FEVS) with the Annual Employee Survey (AES). Under the revised model, executive agencies administer the AES to their own employees and provide OPM and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) only de-identified data for governmentwide analysis and reporting. OPM/Central-21 will cover identifiable records OPM maintains when administering the AES to OPM employees and retained records from prior FEVS administrations. It will not cover identifiable AES records maintained by other agencies.

DATES: Submit comments on or before December 9, 2026. These changes are effective December 10, 2026.

ADDRESSES: You may submit written comments using the Federal eRulemaking Portal at *https://www.regulations.gov.* All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the internet at *https://www.regulations.gov* without change, including any personal identifiers.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For general questions, please contact Jeanne Jacobson, Acting Deputy Associate Director, Workforce Strategy, Design, and Insights, Workforce Policy and Innovation, by email at *wsdi@opm.gov* or by mail at Deputy Associate Director, Workforce Strategy, Design, and Insights, Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street NW, Washington, DC 20415–0001.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, the OPM proposes to modify and republish in full a system of records previously titled ''OPM/Central-21, Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey'' which will be renamed ''OPM/Central-21, Annual Employee Survey.''

Section 1128 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 requires executive agencies to conduct an annual employee survey and requires OPM to prescribe common survey questions. OPM previously administered the Federal Human Capital Survey and then the FEVS as centralized governmentwide surveys that included the required annual employee survey items. Beginning with the AES, each agency will administer OPM's common form questions to its own employees using the methodology and platform selected by that agency. Participating agencies may maintain the identifiers they use to administer their surveys. Agencies will submit to OPM and OMB only the following fields: individual respondent level records for each required AES item, survey methodology information as it applies (survey administration dates, distribution method, eligible population size and description, sample or census, number of respondents, survey completion requirements, sampling approach, sample size, nonresponse bias study results) and weighting