sill or stub sills, body bolsters, and draft sill or draft-pocket structures, with or without crossbearers, crossties, end sills, center plates, draft gear components, safety appliances, mounting structures, or other structural attachments. Underframe assemblies support the tank assembly and associated equipment and transmit the buffing, draft, and other service loads encountered during rail transportation. An unfinished underframe assembly is the center sill or stub sill, with or without one or more of the following attached: draft sill or draft pocket structures, body bolsters, crossbearers, crossties, end sills, center plates, draft gear components, safety appliances, or mounting structures.

These subassemblies are subject to this investigation, whether entered alone or with other subassemblies and whether assembled or unassembled and whether finished or unfinished. The absence of any subassembly from an otherwise finished or unfinished tank car does not remove the tank car from this investigation.

Processing, re-processing, refurbishment, or assembly of finished or unfinished tank cars or subassemblies (including re-jacketing operations performed in Mexico and/or a third country) including, but not limited to, welding, pressing, shaping, cleaning, plating, coating, bolting, painting, and machining, does not remove the product from the scope. Inclusion of other components not identified as comprising the finished or unfinished tank car does not remove the product from the scope.

Specifically excluded from the scope of this investigation are DOT–111 tank cars with tank shells and tank heads constructed primarily of stainless steel; DOT–105 and DOT–112 tank cars with a specified pressure rating of 499 pounds per square inch gauge or greater; and DOT–113 cryogenic tank cars.

The merchandise subject to this investigation is classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) statistical subheading 8606.10.0000. Merchandise subject to this investigation may also be imported under subheadings 7309.00.0030, 7309.00.0090, 7311.00.0030, 7311.00.0060, 7311.00.0090, 7326.90.8688, 8607.99.1000, and 8609.00.0000. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

[FR Doc. 2026–23290 Filed 11–13–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–469–817]

Ripe Olives From Spain: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2024–2025

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that Agro Sevilla Aceitunas, S. Coop.And. (Agro Sevilla), a producer/exporter subject to this review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review (POR), August 1, 2024, through July 31, 2025. In addition, we are rescinding the review with respect to three companies. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results of review.

DATES: Applicable November 16, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph Molokwu, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–8043.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 25, 2025, based on timely requests for review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ripe olives from Spain.[1] On December 31, 2025, Commerce selected Agro Sevilla as the mandatory respondent in this review.[2] On January 28, 2026, Plasoliva, S.L., (Plasoliva) timely withdrew its request for review.[3] On March 5, 2026, Commerce notified parties of its intent to rescind the review with respect to certain companies and invited interested parties to comment.[4] No parties commented on the Intent to Rescind Memorandum.

Due to the lapse in appropriations and Federal Government shutdown, on November 14, 2025, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative proceedings by 47 days.[5] Additionally, due to a backlog of documents that were electronically filed via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS) during the Federal Government shutdown, on November 24, 2025, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative proceedings by an additional 21 days.[6] On June 22, 2026, we extended the preliminary results of this review to no later than November 2, 2026.[7] On October 22, 2026, Commerce extended the preliminary results of this review to November 9, 2026.[8]

For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.[9] A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as Appendix I to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via ACCESS, which is available to registered users at *https://access.trade.gov.* In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at *https://access.trade.gov/frnotices.*

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is ripe olives from Spain. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order, see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Partial Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party who requested a review withdraws its request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation. As noted above, Commerce received a timely-filed withdrawal request with respect to Plasoliva, and no other parties requested an administrative review of Plasoliva. Therefore, we are rescinding this administrative review with respect to Plasoliva, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), it is Commerce's practice to rescind an administrative review of an antidumping duty order where it concludes that there were no suspended

[1] *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews,* 90 FR 46173 (September 25, 2025); *see also Ripe Olives from Spain: Antidumping Duty Order,* 83 FR 37465 (August 1, 2018) (*Order*); and *Ripe Olives from Spain: Notice of Correction to Antidumping Duty Order,* 83 FR 39691 (August 10, 2018) (*Amended Order*).

[2] *See* Memorandum, ''Respondent Selection,'' dated December 31, 2025.

[3] *See* Plasoliva's Letter, ''Plasoliva, S.L.'s Withdrawal Request for Administrative Review,'' dated January 28, 2026.

[4] *See* Memorandum, ''Notice of Intent to Rescind Review, In Part,'' dated March 5, 2026 (Intent to Rescind Memorandum).

[5] *See* Memorandum, ''Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government,'' dated November 14, 2025.

[6] *See* Memorandum, ''Tolling of all Case Deadlines,'' dated November 24, 2025.

[7] *See* Memorandum, ''Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,'' dated June 22, 2026.

[8] *See* Memorandum, ''Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,'' dated October 22, 2026.

[9] *See* Memorandum, ''Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Ripe Olives from Spain; 2024–2025,'' dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

entries of subject merchandise during the POR.[10] Normally, upon completion of an administrative review, the suspended entries are liquidated at the antidumping duty assessment rate for the review period.[11] Therefore, for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry that Commerce can instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate at the antidumping duty assessment rate calculated for the POR.[12] Commerce notified all interested parties of its intent to rescind the instant review regarding the companies listed in Appendix II because there were no reviewable, suspended entries of subject merchandise from these companies during the POR and invited interested parties to comment.[13] No party commented on this memorandum. In the absence of any suspended entries of subject merchandise from these companies during the POR, we are rescinding this administrative review for the companies listed in Appendix II, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price and constructed export price are calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal Value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

[10] *See, e.g., Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-to Length Plate from the Federal Republic of Germany: Recission of Antidumping Administrative Review; 2020–2021,* 88 FR 4154 (January 24, 2023).

[11] *See* 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

[12] *See, e.g., Shanghai Sunbeauty Trading Co.* v. *United States,* 380 F.Supp.3d 1328, 1337 (CIT 2019), at 12 (referring to section 751(a) of the Act, the U.S. Court of International Trade held that ''{w}hile the statute does not explicitly require that an entry be suspended as a prerequisite for establishing entitlement to a review, it does explicitly state the determined rate will be used as the liquidation rate for the reviewed entries. This result can only obtain if the liquidation of entries has been suspended''; *see also Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2018–2019,* 86 FR 36102, and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 4; and *Solid Fertilizer Grade Ammonium Nitrate from the Russian Federation: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,* 77 FR 65532 (October 29, 2012) (noting that ''for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry to be liquidated at the newly calculated assessment rate'').

[13] *See* Intent to Rescind Memorandum.

Rate for Non-Individually Examined Companies

The Act does not address the establishment of a rate to apply to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. However, Commerce's regulation at 19 CFR 351.109(g) state that Commerce will determine the rate for non-selected companies by following the process set forth in 19 CFR 351.109(f)(1)–(2), which generally parallels the process for determining the all-others rate in an investigation under section 735(c)(5) of the Act. Section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.109(f) state that for companies not investigated, in general, we will determine an all-others rate by weight averaging the antidumping duty rates established for each of the companies individually investigated, excluding zero and *de minimis* rates or any rates based entirely on facts available.

In this administrative review, we preliminarily calculated a weighted-average dumping margin for Agro Sevilla, the sole individually examined respondent in this review. Because this estimated weighted-average dumping margin is not zero, *de minimis,* or based entirely on facts otherwise available, we are preliminarily assigning the estimated weighted-average dumping margin calculated for Agro Sevilla to Angel Camacho Alimentación, S.L., which was not selected for individual examination, consistent with the guidance in section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act.

Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine the following estimated weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period August 1, 2024, through July 31, 2025:

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Agro Sevilla Aceitunas, S.Coop.And	1.94
Angel Camacho Alimentación, S.L.	1.94

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose its calculations and analysis performed to interested parties for these preliminary results within five days of any public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Verification

Commerce received a timely request from the Coalition for Fair Trade in Ripe Olives (the petitioners) to verify the information submitted in this administrative review, pursuant to 19 CFR 307(b)(1)(iv), if Commerce did not conduct an on-site verification of Agro Sevilla in the preceding administrative review of the *Order,* or verify for good cause.[14] Commerce verified the information submitted by Agro Sevilla in the immediately preceding administrative review of the *Order.*[15] Commerce additionally does not find good cause exists, to verify Agro Sevilla in this administrative review. As such Commerce does not intend to verify the information submitted by Agro Sevilla in the course of this administrative review.

Public Comment

Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), we have modified the deadline for interested parties to submit case briefs to Commerce to no later than 21 days after the date of the publication of this notice.[16] Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.[17] Interested parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding must submit: (1) a table of contents listing each issue; and (2) a table of authorities.[18] All briefs must be filed electronically using ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety in ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the established deadline.

As provided under 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2)(iii) and (d)(2)(iii), we request that interested parties provide at the beginning of their briefs a public executive summary for each issue raised in their briefs.[19] Further, we request that

[14] *See* Petitioner's Letter, ''Request for Verification,'' dated January 5, 2026.

[15] *See Ripe Olives from Spain: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2023–2024,* 91 FR 51659 (August 11, 2026).

[16] *See* 19 CFR 351.309.

[17] *See* 19 CFR 351.309(d); *see also Administrative Protective Order, Service, and Other Procedures in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings,* 88 FR 67069, 67077 (September 29, 2023) (*APO and Service Procedures*).

[18] *See* 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

[19] We use the term ''issue'' here to describe an argument that Commerce would normally address in a comment of the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

interested parties limit their public executive summary of each issue to no more than 450 words, not including citations. We intend to use the public executive summaries as the basis of the comment summaries included in the issues and decision memorandum that will accompany the final results in this administrative review. We request that interested parties include footnotes for relevant citations in the public executive summary of each issue. Note that Commerce has amended certain of its requirements pertaining to the service of documents in 19 CFR 351.303(f).[20]

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) the party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants and whether any participant is a foreign national; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Oral presentations at the hearing will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce will inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing.[21]

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

If Agro Sevilla's weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.,* less than 0.50 percent) in the final results of this review, Commerce intends to calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those sales. Where we do not have entered values for all U.S. sales to a particular importer, we will calculate an importer-specific, per-unit assessment rate on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total quantity of those sales.[22] To determine whether an importer-specific, per-unit assessment rate is *de minimis,* in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we also will calculate an importer-specific *ad valorem* ratio based on estimated entered values. If Agro Sevilla's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* or where an importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis,* we will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.[23]

In accordance with Commerce's ''automatic assessment'' practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Agro Sevilla for which it did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate those entries at the all-others rate calculated in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.[24]

For Angel Camacho Alimentación, S.L., which was not selected for individual examination, we will assign an assessment rate based on the review-specific rate, calculated as noted in the rate table above. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.[25]

For Plasoliva and those companies listed in Appendix II for which the review is being rescinded, Commerce will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit rate for estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue rescission instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP regarding Agro Sevilla and Angel Camacho Alimentación, S.L. no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.,* within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the company listed above will be that established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed companies not covered by this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific cash deposit rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent segment for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 19.98 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.[26] These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties, and/or an increase in the amount of antidumping duties by the amount of the countervailing duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results of review in

[20] *See APO and Service Procedures.*

[21] *See* 19 CFR 351.310(d).

[22] *See* 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

[23] *See* 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2); *see also Antidumping Proceeding: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings; Final Modification,* 77 FR 8101, 8103 (February 14, 2012).

[24] For a full discussion of this practice, *see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties,* 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

[25] *See* section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

[26] *See Order,* 83 FR at 37466*; see also Amended Order,* 83 FR at 39692.

accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4), 19 CFR 351.213(h), and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: November 9, 2026.

Kasey O'Connor,

Deputy General Counsel, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the *Order*
IV. Discussion of Methodology
V. Currency Conversion
VI. Recommendation

Appendix II

Companies With No Reviewable Entries Rescinded From Review

1. Alimentary Group DCOOP, S.Coop.And.
2. Aceitunera del Norte de Cáceres, S.C.L.

[FR Doc. 2026–23268 Filed 11–13–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–871]

Finished Carbon Steel Flanges From India: Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2024–2025

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that producers and/or exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR), August 1, 2024, through July 31, 2025. In addition, we are rescinding the review with respect to 27 companies. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable November 16, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Preston Cox, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (240) 956–8630.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 24, 2017, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on finished carbon steel flanges (steel flanges) from India.[1] On August 1, 2025, Commerce published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the *Order.*[2] On September 25, 2025, based on timely requests for review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on steel flanges from India.[3] On November 26, 2025, Commerce selected Norma Group [4] and R. N. Gupta & Co., Ltd. (RNG) as mandatory respondents in this administrative review.[5]

Due to the lapse in appropriations and Federal Government shutdown on November 14, 2025, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative proceedings by 47 days.[6] Additionally, due to a backlog of documents that were electronically filed via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS) during the Federal Government shutdown, on November 24, 2025, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative proceedings by an additional 21 days.[7] Between June 9 and October 27, 2026, Commerce extended the deadline for the preliminary results of this review by 120 days.[8] Accordingly, the deadline for Commerce to issue these preliminary results is November 9, 2026.

For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.[9] A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as Appendix I to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via ACCESS, which is available to registered users at *https://access.trade.gov.* In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at *https://access.trade.gov/frnotices.*

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the *Order* is steel flanges. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order, see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Rescission of Administrative Review, in Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), it is Commerce's practice to rescind an administrative review of an antidumping duty order where it concludes that there were no suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR.[10] Normally, upon completion of an administrative review, the suspended entries are liquidated at the antidumping duty assessment rate for the review period.[11] Therefore, for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry that Commerce can instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate at the antidumping duty assessment rate calculated for the POR.[12]

[1] *See Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India and Italy: Antidumping Duty Orders,* 82 FR 40136 (August 24, 2017) (*Order*).

[2] *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review and Join Annual Inquiry Service List,* 90 FR 36141 (August 1, 2025).

[3] *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews,* 90 FR 46173 (September 25, 2025).

[4] In prior segments of this proceeding, we determined that Norma (India) Limited, USK Exports Private Limited, Uma Shanker Khandelwal & Co., and Bansidhar Chiranjilal were affiliated and should be treated as a single entity (Norma Group). *See, e.g., Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination,* 82 FR 9719 (February 8, 2017), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum, at 4–5, unchanged in *Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value,* 82 FR 29483 (June 29, 2017). In this review, Norma (India) Limited and its affiliated entities have affirmed that the factual basis on which Commerce made its prior determinations has not changed. Therefore, Commerce continues to treat these four companies as a single entity.

[5] *See* Memorandum, ''Respondent Selection,'' dated November 26, 2025 (Respondent Selection Memorandum).

[6] *See* Memorandum, ''Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government,'' dated November 14, 2025.

[7] *See* Memorandum, ''Tolling of all Case Deadlines,'' dated November 24, 2025.

[8] *See* Memorandum, ''Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,'' dated June 9, 2026; *see also* ''Second Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,'' dated October 27, 2026.

[9] *See* Memorandum, ''Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India; 2024–2025,'' dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

[10] *See, e.g., Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-to Length Plate from the Federal Republic of Germany: Recission of Antidumping Administrative Review; 2020–2021,* 88 FR 4154 (January 24, 2023).

[11] *See* 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

[12] *See, e.g., Shanghai Sunbeauty Trading Co.* v. *United States,* 380 F.Supp.3d 1328, 1337 (CIT 2019), at 12 (referring to section 751(a) of the Act, the U.S. Court of International Trade held that ''{w}hile the statute does not explicitly require that an entry be suspended as a prerequisite for establishing entitlement to a review, it does explicitly state the determined rate will be used as the liquidation rate for the reviewed entries. This result can only obtain if the liquidation of entries has been suspended''; *see also Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2018–2019,* 86 FR 36102, and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 4; and