Comment 4: Whether to Apply AFA to Adjust the Reported Per-Unit Overhead Cost
Comment 5: Whether to Rely on Habich's Mexican Market Sales Instead of Constructed Value (CV)
Comment 6: Whether to Rely on Habich's Own Selling Expenses and Profit Data to Calculate CV
Comment 7: Whether to Rely on Certain Financial Statements
Comment 8: Whether to Adjust the Calculation of General and Administrative (G&A) Expense and Interest Expense (INTEX) Ratios
Comment 9: Whether to Treat Commissions as Direct Selling Expenses
Comment 10: Whether to Rescind the Administrative Review
VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2026–23269 Filed 11–13–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–533–872]

Finished Carbon Steel Flanges From India: Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2024

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that countervailable subsidies were provided to producers and exporters of finished carbon steel flanges (steel flanges) from India. The period of review (POR) is January 1, 2024, through December 31, 2024. In addition, Commerce is rescinding this review, in part, with respect to 28 companies. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable November 16, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amber Hodak or Danielle Buffa, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–8034 or (202) 482–8021, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 24, 2017, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the countervailing duty (CVD) order on steel flanges from India.[1] On September 25, 2025, based on timely requests for review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review of the CVD order on steel flanges from India.[2] On December 8, 2025, Commerce selected Norma (India) Limited (Norma) and R.N. Gupta & Co., Ltd. (RNG) as the mandatory respondents in this review.[3]

Due to the lapse in appropriations and Federal Government shutdown, on November 14, 2025, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative proceedings by 47 days.[4] Due to a backlog of documents that were electronically filed via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS) during the Federal Government shutdown, on November 24, 2025, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative proceedings by an additional 21 days.[5] On May 14, 2026, Commerce extended the deadline for the preliminary results of this review by 112 days, until October 30, 2026.[6] On October 28, 2026, Commerce extended the deadline for the preliminary results of this review by an additional eight days until November 9, 2026.[7]

For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.[8] A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is provided as Appendix I to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's ACCESS, which is available to registered users at *https://access.trade.gov.* In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at *https://access.trade.gov/frnotices.*

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the *Order* is steel flanges from India. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order, see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Rescission of Administrative Review, in Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), it is Commerce's practice to rescind an administrative review of a CVD order when there are no reviewable entries of subject merchandise during the POR.[9] Normally, upon completion of an administrative review, the suspended entries are liquidated at the CVD assessment rate calculated for the POR.[10] Therefore, for an administrative review of a company to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry that Commerce can instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate at the CVD rate calculated for the POR.[11]

According to the CBP import data on the record, the companies listed in Appendix II subject to this review did not have reviewable entries of subject merchandise during the POR for which liquidation is suspended.[12] On October 26, 2026, Commerce notified all interested parties of its intent to rescind the instant review for companies where there was no evidence of any suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR.[13] We provided interested parties with an opportunity to comment, and received no comments. Accordingly, in the absence of any reviewable, suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR, we are rescinding the administrative review in part, with respect these companies listed in Appendix II, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this administrative review in accordance with 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found

[1] *See Finished Carbon steel Flanges from India: Countervailing duty Order,* 82 FR 40138 (August 24, 2017) (*Order*).

[2] *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews,* 90 FR 46173, 46184. (September 25, 2025).

[3] *See* Memorandum, ''Respondent Selection,'' dated December 8, 2025.

[4] *See* Memorandum, ''Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government,'' dated November 14, 2025.

[5] *See* Memorandum, ''Tolling of all Case Deadlines,'' dated November 24, 2025.

[6] *See* Memorandum ''Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review,'' dated May 14, 2026.

[7] *See* Memorandum, ''Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review,'' dated October 28, 2026. Because the preliminary results deadline falls on the weekend (*i.e.,* November 7, 2026). The new deadline becomes the next business day (*i.e.,* November 9, 2026). *See Notice of Clarification: Application of* ''*Next Business Day*'' *Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended,* 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005) (*Next Business Day Rule*).

[8] *See* Memorandum, ''Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India; 2024,'' dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

[9] *See, e.g., Certain Non-Refillable Steel Cylinders from the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2024,* 90 FR 48043 (October 3, 2025).

[10] *See* 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2).

[11] *See* 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).

[12] *See* Memorandum, ''Release of U.S. Customs and Border Protection Entry Data,'' dated September 29, 2025.

[13] *See* Memorandum, ''Notice of Intent to Rescind Review, In Part,'' dated October 26, 2026.

countervailable, Commerce preliminarily determines that there is a subsidy, *i.e.,* a financial contribution by an ''authority'' that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.[14] For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, including our reliance, in part, on facts otherwise available with adverse inferences pursuant to sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Rate for Non-Individually Examined Companies

The Act and Commerce's regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to apply to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(e)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 705(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a CVD investigation. Section 777A(e)(2) of the Act provides that ''the individual countervailable subsidy rates determined under subparagraph (A) shall be used to determine the all-others rate under section 705(c)(5) {of the Act}.''

Under section 705(c)(5)(A)(i) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally an amount equal to the weighted average countervailable subsidy rates established for each of the companies individually investigated, excluding any rates that are zero, *de minimis* (*i.e.,* less than 0.5 percent), or determined entirely on the basis of facts available. Where the countervailable subsidy rates for each of the individually examined companies is zero, *de minimis,* or based entirely on facts available, section 705(c)(5)(A)(ii) of the Act provides that Commerce may use ''any reasonable method to establish an all-others rate for exporters and producers not individually investigated, including averaging the weighted average countervailable subsidy rates determined for the exporters and producers individually investigated.''

In this administrative review, we preliminarily calculated countervailable subsidy rates for the mandatory respondents, Norma and RNG, that are not zero, *de minimis,* or based entirely on facts available. Accordingly, we are preliminarily assigning to the companies under review that were not selected for individual examination a countervailable subsidy rate equal to the weighted average of the countervailable subsidy rates calculated for Norma and RNG, weighted by the mandatory respondents' publicly ranged sales values for the merchandise under consideration, consistent with the guidance in section 705(c)(5)(A)(i) of the Act.[15]

Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine the following net countervailable subsidy rates exist for the POR, January 1, 2024, through December 31, 2024:

Company	Subsidy rate (percent *ad valorem*)
Norma (India) Limited; USK Export Private Limited; Uma Shanker Khandelwal and Co.; and Bansidhar Chiranjilal[16]	2.23
R. N. Gupta & Company Limited	2.28
Companies Not Selected for Individual Review[17]	2.26

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose its calculations and analysis performed to interested parties for these preliminary results within five days of any public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Verification

On March 4, 2026, Weldbend Corporation (Weldbend), a domestic interested party, requested that Commerce conduct verification of Norma and RNG's responses.[18] Accordingly, in July through August 2026, as provided in section 782(i)(3) of the Act, we verified Norma and RNG's information relied upon for these preliminary results of this review.

Public Comment

Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), we have modified the deadline for interested parties to submit case briefs to Commerce to no later than 21 days after the date of the publication of this notice.[19] Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.[20] Interested parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding must submit: (1) a table of contents listing each issue; and (2) a table of authorities.[21] All briefs must be filed electronically using ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety in ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the established deadline.

As provided under 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2)(iii) and (d)(2)(iii), we request that interested parties provide at the beginning of their briefs a public executive summary for each issue raised in their briefs.[22] Further, we request that interested parties limit their executive

[14] *See* sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

[15] With two respondents under examination, Commerce normally calculates: (A) a weighted-average of the estimated subsidy rates calculated for the examined respondents; (B) a simple average of the estimated subsidy rates calculated for the examined respondents; and (C) a weighted-average of the estimated subsidy rates calculated for the examined respondents using each company's publicly-ranged U.S. sales values for the merchandise under consideration. Commerce then compares (B) and (C) to (A) and selects the rate closest to (A) as the most appropriate rate for all other producers and exporters. *See, e.g., Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Final Results of Changed-Circumstances Review, and Revocation of an Order in Part,* 75 FR 53661, 53662 (September 1, 2010), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 1; *see also* Memorandum, ''Preliminary Results Calculation of Subsidy Rate for Non-Selected Companies under Review,'' dated concurrently with this notice.

[16] As discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, Commerce has found the following companies to be cross owned with Norma (India) Ltd.: USK Export Private Limited; Uma Shanker Khandelwal and Co.; and Bansidhar Chiranjilal. This rate applies to all cross-owned companies.

[17] *See* Appendix III for a list of the non-selected companies under review.

[18] *See* Weldbend's Letter, ''Request for Verification,'' dated March 4, 2026.

[19] *See* 19 CFR 351.309.

[20] *See* 19 CFR 351.309(d); *see also Administrative Protective Order, Service, and Other Procedures in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings,* 88 FR 67069, 67077 (September 29, 2023) (*APO and Service Procedures*).

[21] *See* 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

[22] We use the term ''issue'' here to describe an argument that Commerce would normally address in a comment of the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

summary of each issue to no more than 450 words, not including citations. We intend to use the executive summaries as the basis of the comment summaries included in the issues and decision memorandum that will accompany the final results in this administrative review. We request that interested parties include footnotes for relevant citations in the public executive summary of each issue. Note that Commerce has amended certain of its requirements pertaining to the service of documents in 19 CFR 351.303(f).[23]

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) the party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants and whether any participants are foreign nationals; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Oral presentations at the hearing will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce will inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing.[24]

Assessment Rates

Consistent with section 751(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), upon issuance of the final results, Commerce shall determine, and CBP shall assess, CVDs on all appropriate entries covered by this review.

For the companies listed in Appendix II for which the review is being rescinded, Commerce will instruct CBP to assess CVDs on all appropriate entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of estimated CVDs required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue rescission instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP regarding Norma, RNG, and the companies not selected for individual review [25] no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**.

If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.,* within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, Commerce intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties with regard to shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review, as follows: (1) the cash deposit rate for the companies listed above will be equal to the company-specific estimated individual countervailable subsidy rates determined in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) if both the producer and exporter of the subject merchandise have company-specific estimated subsidy rates assigned, and their rates differ, then the applicable cash deposit rate will be the higher of these two rates; (3) if either the producer or the exporter, but not both, of the subject merchandise has a company-specific estimated subsidy rate assigned, the applicable cash deposit rate will be that company's company-specific rate; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers and exporters will be continue to be 7.39 percent, the all-others subsidy rate established in the investigation.[26] These cash deposit instructions, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Final Results of Review

Unless the deadline is extended, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of Commerce's analysis of the issues raised in the case briefs, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results in the **Federal Register**, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213 and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: November 9, 2026.

Kasey O'Connor,

Deputy General Counsel, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the *Order*
IV. Diversification of India's Economy
V. Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Application of Adverse Inference
VI. Subsidies Valuation
VII. Benchmarks and Interest Rates
VIII. Analysis of Programs
IX. Recommendation

Appendix II

Companies for Which the Administrative Review Is Rescinded

1. Adinath International
2. Allena Group
3. Alloyed Steel
4. Bebitz Flanges Works Private Limited
5. CHW Forge
6. CHW Forge Pvt. Ltd.
7. Citizen Metal Depot
8. Corum Flange
9. DN Forge Industries
10. Echjay Forgings Limited
11. Echjay Industries Pvt. Ltd.
12. Falcon Valves and Flanges Private Limited
13. Heubach International
14. Jiten Steel Industries
15. Kinnari Steel Corporation
16. Mascot Metal Manufactures
17. M F Rings and Bearing Races Ltd.
18. OM Exports
19. Punjab Steel Works (PSW)
20. Raaj Sagar Steel
21. Ravi Ratan Metal Industries
22. Renin Piping Products
23. Rolex Fittings India Pvt. Ltd.
24. SHM (ShinHeung Machinery)
25. Siddhagiri Metal & Tubes
26. Sizer India
27. Sudhir Forgings Pvt. Ltd.
28. Umashanker Khandelwal Forging Limited

Appendix III

Non-Selected Companies Under Review

1. Aditya Forge Limited
2. Balkrishna Steel Forge Pvt. Ltd.
3. BFN Forgings Private Limited
4. Brai Technoforge Pvt. Ltd.
5. C.D. Industries
6. Cetus Engineering Private Limited
7. Hindon Forge Pvt. Ltd.
8. JAI Auto Pvt. Ltd.
9. Munish Forge Private Limited [27]

[23] *See APO and Service Procedures.*

[24] *See* 19 CFR 351.310(d).

[25] *See* Appendix III for a list of the non-selected companies under review.

[26] *See Order.*

[27] With respect to Munish Forge Private Limited, this company reported that it changed its name from Munish Forge Private Limited to Munish Forge Limited. Commerce found that Munish Forge Limited is the new name for Munish Forge Private Limited and thus are treating both names as referring to the same respondent. Further, we intend to assign both companies (*i.e.,* Munish Forge

Continued

10. R.D. Forge
11. Rollwell Forge Engineering Components And Flanges
12. Rollwell Forge Pvt. Ltd.
13. Steel Shape India
14. Tirupati Forge

[FR Doc. 2026–23270 Filed 11–13–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–201–836]

Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube From Mexico: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2024–2025

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that Maquilacero S.A. de C.V and Tecnicas de Fluidos S.A. de C.V. (collectively, Maquilacero/TEFLU) and Regiomontana de Perfiles y Tubos S. de R.L. de C.V. (Regiopytsa) made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR), August 1, 2024, through July 31, 2025. In addition, we are rescinding the review with respect to 13 companies. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results of review.

DATES: Applicable November 13, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Conniff, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1009.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 5, 2008, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on light-walled rectangular pipe and tube (LWRPT) from Mexico.[1] On September 25, 2025, based on timely requests for review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review of the *Order*.[2] On January 14, 2026, Commerce selected Maquilacero/TEFLU [3] and Regiopytsa as the mandatory respondents in this review.[4]

Due to the lapse in appropriations and Federal Government shutdown, on November 14, 2025, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative proceedings by 47 days.[5] Additionally, due to a backlog of documents that were electronically filed via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS) during the Federal Government shutdown, on November 24, 2025, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative proceedings by an additional 21 days.[6] Between June 12, and October 26, 2026, we extended the preliminary results of this review to no later than November 9, 2026.[7]

For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.[8] A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at *https://access.trade.gov.* In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at *https://access.trade.gov/frnotices.*

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is LWRPT from Mexico. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order, see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. NV is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Rescission of Administrative Review, in Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), it is Commerce's practice to rescind an administrative review of an antidumping duty order where it concludes that there were no suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR.[9] Normally, upon completion of an administrative review, the suspended entries are liquidated at the antidumping duty assessment rate for the review period.[10] Therefore, for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry that Commerce can instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate at the AD assessment rate calculated for the POR.[11] Commerce

---

Private Limited and Munish Forge Limited) the same cash deposit rate. *See Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2023,* 91 FR 4869 (February 3, 2026); *see also Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2023,* 91 FR 34605 (June 8, 2026).

[1] *See Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Mexico, the People's Republic of China, and the Republic of Korea: Antidumping Duty Orders; Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value,* 73 FR 45403 (August 5, 2008) (*Order*).

[2] *See Initiation of Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews,* 90 FR 46173 (September 25, 2025).

[3] We have previously determined that Maquilacero and TEFLU should be collapsed and treated as a single entity. *See Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Mexico: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review;* 2018–2019, 85 FR 83886 (December 23, 2020), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM) at 6, unchanged in *Light Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Mexico: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019,* 86 FR 33646 (June 25, 2021) (*LWRPT from Mexico 2018–2019*). Because there is no information on the record of this administrative review that would lead us to revisit this determination, we have continued to treat these companies as part of a single entity for the purposes of this administrative review.

[4] *See* Memorandum, ''Respondent Selection,'' dated January 14, 2025.

[5] *See* Memorandum, ''Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government,'' dated November 14, 2025.

[6] *See* Memorandum, ''Tolling of all Case Deadlines,'' dated November 24, 2025.

[7] *See* Memorandum, ''Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty and Administrative Review,'' dated June 12, 2026; *see also* Memorandum, ''Second Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,'' dated October 26, 2026.

[8] *See* Memorandum, ''Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Mexico; 2024–2025,'' dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

[9] *See, e.g., Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-to Length Plate from the Federal Republic of Germany: Recission of Antidumping Administrative Review; 2020–2021,* 88 FR 4154 (January 24, 2023).

[10] *See* 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

[11] *See, e.g., Shanghai Sunbeauty Trading Co.* v. *United States,* 380 F.Supp.3d 1328, 1337 (CIT 2019), at 12 (referring to section 751(a) of the Act, the U.S. Court of International Trade held that ''{w}hile the statute does not explicitly require that an entry be suspended as a prerequisite for establishing entitlement to a review, it does explicitly state the determined rate will be used as the liquidation rate for the reviewed entries. This result can only obtain if the liquidation of entries has been suspended''; *see also Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2018–2019,* 86 FR 36102, and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 4; and *Solid Fertilizer Grade Ammonium Nitrate from the Russian Federation: Notice of Rescission of*