That airspace extending upward from the surface to and including 3,100 feet MSL within a 4.8 mile radius of Lebanon Municipal Airport; and within 1.3 miles each side of the 349° bearing from the airport extending from the 4.8-mile radius to 6.4 miles north of the airport; and within 1.1 miles each side of the 058° bearing from the airport extending from the 4.8-mile radius to 6.5 miles northeast of the airport; and within 1.1 miles each side of the 182° bearing from the airport extending from the 4.8-mile radius to 5.6 miles south of the airport; and within 1.2 miles each side of the 225° bearing from the airport extending from the 4.8-mile radius to 5.3 miles southwest of the airport. This Class D airspace area is effective during the specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Chart Supplement.

* * * * *

6004 Class E Airspace Areas Designated as an Extension to a Class D or Class E Surface Area.

* * * * *

ANE NH E4 Lebanon, NH [Remove]

* * * * *

Paragraph 6005 Class E Airspace Areas Extending Upward From 700 Feet or More Above the Surface of the Earth.

* * * * *

ANE NH E5 Lebanon, NH [Amended]

Lebanon Municipal Airport, NH
(Lat. 43°37′34″ N, long. 72°18′15″ W)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 17-mile radius of Lebanon Municipal Airport and extending to 19.5 miles clockwise between the 034° bearing and the 134° bearing from the Lebanon Municipal Airport.

* * * * *

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on November 19, 2026.

Gregory R. Garmon,

Acting Manager, Airspace and Procedures North Team, Eastern Service Center, Air Traffic Organization.

[FR Doc. 2026–23824 Filed 11–20–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

29 CFR Part 2400

Regulations Implementing the Privacy Act

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission (OSHRC) is proposing revisions to its regulations implementing the Privacy Act of 1974. The proposed amendments to the Privacy Act regulations provide additional information on how to submit a Privacy Act request and revise the requirements for verifying a requester's identity.

DATES: Comments must be received by OSHRC on or before December 23, 2026.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- *Email: OSHRC_Privacy@oshrc.gov*. Include ''Notice of proposed rulemaking, 29 CFR part 2400'' in the subject line of the message.
- *Mail:* Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission, ATTN: Privacy Officer, One Lafayette Centre, 1120 20th Street NW, Ninth Floor, Washington, DC 20036–3457.
- *Hand Delivery/Courier:* same as mailing address.

Instructions: All submissions must include your name, return address, and email address, if applicable. Please clearly label submissions as ''Notice of proposed rulemaking, 29 CFR part 2400.''

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ron Bailey, Senior Attorney Advisor, Office of General Counsel, by telephone at (202) 606–5410 or by email at *OSHRC_Privacy@oshrc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Revisions to Part 2400

OSHRC's regulations, 29 CFR part 2400, that implement the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. 552a, were promulgated on January 19, 1979, 44 FR 3968, and revised on April 30, 1993, 58 FR 26065, September 29, 2006, 71 FR 57421, and October 15, 2020, 85 FR 65221.

OSHRC proposes revising paragraph (a)(1) of 29 CFR 2400.4 to include the use of email as an option for requesting access to Privacy Act records. The purpose of this proposed revision is to increase the ease with which a Privacy Act request can be submitted.

OSHRC proposes revising paragraph (a)(2) to correct a minor proofreading mistake.

OSHRC proposes revising paragraphs (c) and (d) to (1) require the same type of verification materials for Privacy Act requesters who seek access to records in person and those who seek access to records via mail; and (2) require that each Privacy Act requester provide a declaration in accordance with 28 U.S.C. 1746, affirming to his or her identity and to the fact that he or she understands the penalties for false statements pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1001. The purpose of these proposed revisions is to ensure consistency and accountability in the verification process.

II. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Executive Order 12866: This proposed action is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under E.O. 12866.

Executive Order 14192: This proposed action is not a regulatory action under E.O. 14192 because this action is not significant under E.O. 12866.

Executive Order 13132 and the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995: This proposed action does not have federalism implications as specified under E.O. 13132, nor does it contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995.

Regulatory Flexibility Act: The Chairman of OSHRC certifies under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 605(b), that the proposed revisions to these rules would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The only provision in part 2400 that could economically impact a small entity pertains to how OSHRC charges its Privacy Act fees, and the proposed revisions do not concern that provision. Moreover, when fees are assessed, the amounts are generally minimal; and it is not anticipated that the proposed amendments to other provisions within part 2400 would have any impact on the number of entities responsible for paying Privacy Act fees or the amounts of those fees. Finally, the Privacy Act's protections apply to ''individuals,'' which typically would not include ''small entities.'' For these reasons, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required.

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995: OSHRC has determined that the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.,* does not apply because these proposed rules do not contain any information collection requirements that require the approval of OMB.

Congressional Review Act: These proposed revisions do not constitute a ''rule,'' as defined by the Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 804(3)(C), because they involve changes to agency organization, procedure, or practice that do not substantially affect the rights or obligations of non-agency parties.

List of Subjects

Privacy.

Nadine N. Mancini,

Senior Agency Official for Privacy.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, OSHRC proposes to amend 29 CFR part 2400 as set forth below:

PART 2400—REGULATIONS IMPLEMENTING THE PRIVACY ACT

■ 1. The authority citation for part 2400 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552a(f); 5 U.S.C. 553.

§ 2400.4 [Amended]

■ 2. Amend § 2400.4 as follows:

■ a. Revise paragraph (a)(1) to read as follows:

§ 2400.4 Procedures for requesting notification of and access to personal records.

* * * * *

(a) *Submission of requests*—(1) *Manner.* An individual seeking information regarding the content of a system of records or access to a personal record in a system of records should submit a written request either in person or by mail to the Privacy Officer, OSHRC, One Lafayette Centre, 1120 20th Street NW, Ninth Floor, Washington, DC 20036–3457, or by email to the Privacy Officer at *OSHRC_Privacy@oshrc.gov*. A request may also be submitted to the FOIA Disclosure Officer in accordance with the procedures set forth at 29 CFR 2201.5(a). Such a request, however, must be identified as a ''Privacy Act Request.'' The FOIA Disclosure Officer will forward any request identified in this manner to the Privacy Officer for processing.

* * * * *

■ b. Add the word ''the'' before the words ''**Federal Register**'' in paragraph (a)(2).

■ c. Delete the words ''a signature, address, date of birth, place of birth, and'' in paragraph (c)(2).

■ d. Revise paragraphs (c)(3) and (d) to read as follows:

§ 2400.4 Procedures for requesting notification of and access to personal records.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(3) Any individual seeking access to a record by mail or in person must also provide a declaration in accordance with 28 U.S.C. 1746, affirming to his or her identity and to the fact that he or she understands the penalties for false statements pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1001.

(d) *Verification of guardianship.* The parent or guardian of a minor or an individual judicially determined to be incompetent and seeking to act on behalf of such minor or incompetent shall, in addition to establishing his or her own identity in accordance with the requirements of paragraph (c), establish the identity of the minor or other individual he or she represents and his or her own parentage or guardianship of the subject of the record by furnishing either a copy of a birth certificate showing parentage or a court order establishing the guardianship.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2026–23762 Filed 11–20–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7600–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 8

[PS Docket No. 23–239, DA 26–1162; FR ID 377778]

Cybersecurity Labeling for Internet of Things

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau (Bureau) of the Federal Communications Commission (Commission or FCC) seeks comment on recommendations for the U.S. Cyber Trust Mark (USCTM) program, a voluntary cybersecurity labeling program for wireless consumer Internet of Things (IoT) products. The Bureau seeks comment on the design and placement of the FCC IoT Label, display of product registry information, certification renewal, post-market surveillance, and procedures for complaints, noncompliant products, and program administrators that withdraw or whose authority is terminated. These actions would support the establishment and effective administration of the USCTM program and provide consumers with accessible cybersecurity information about certified IoT products.

DATES: Comments are due on or before December 23, 2026; reply comments are due on or before January 7, 2027.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may submit comments, identified by PS Docket No. 23–239, by any of the following methods:

- *Electronic Filers:* Comments may be filed electronically using the internet by accessing the ECFS: *https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs.*
- *Paper Filers:* Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and one copy of each filing.
- Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial courier, or by the U.S. Postal Service. All filings must be addressed to the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.
- Hand-delivered or messenger-delivered paper filings for the Commission's Secretary are accepted between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. by the FCC's mailing contractor at 9050 Junction Drive, Annapolis Junction, MD 20701. All hand deliveries must be held together with rubber bands or fasteners. Any envelopes and boxes must be disposed of before entering the building.
- Commercial courier deliveries (any deliveries not by the U.S. Postal Service) must be sent to 9050 Junction Drive, Annapolis Junction, MD 20701.
- Filings sent by U.S. Postal Service First-Class Mail, Priority Mail, and Priority Mail Express must be sent to 45 L Street NE, Washington, DC 20554.
- *People with Disabilities:* To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an email to *fcc504@fcc.gov* or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202–418–0530.

Confidential Treatment. Parties wishing to file materials with a claim of confidentiality should follow the procedures set forth in § 0.459 of the Commission's rules. Casual claims of confidentiality are not accepted. Confidential submissions may not be filed via ECFS but rather should be filed with the Secretary's Office following the procedures set forth in 47 CFR 0.459. Redacted versions of confidential submissions may be filed via ECFS. Parties are advised that the FCC looks with disfavor on claims of confidentiality for entire documents. When a claim of confidentiality is made, a public, redacted version of the document should also be filed.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tara B. Shostek, Attorney Advisor, (202) 418–8130, Cybersecurity and Communications Reliability Division, Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau at *CyberTrustMark@fcc.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's document (*Public Notice*) in PS Docket No. 23–239, DA 26–1162, released on October 27, 2026. The full text of this document is available at the following internet address: *https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DA-26-1162A1.docx.*

Providing Accountability Through Transparency Act. Consistent with the Providing Accountability Through Transparency Act, Public Law 118–9, a