Information collection requirement	Annual number of respondents	Average number of responses each	Annual number of responses	Average completion time per response (hours)	Estimated annual burden hours *
State-Developed Voluntary Conservation Action Program	1	1	1	320	320
Development of Conservation Strategy	1	1	1	200	200
Amendments to Conservation Strategy	1	1	1	16	16
Credit Agreement/Transfer of Credits	3	1	3	80	240
Annual Reports	3	1	3	20	60
State Recordkeeping Requirements	3	1	3	240	720
State Reports—Voluntary Prelisting Conservation Actions Taken Under Program	3	1	3	.25	1
Site-Level Agreements	1	1	1	100	100
Formal Agreements	1	1	1	4	4
Monitoring Reports	3	1	3	24	72
Site-Level Reports	3	1	3	24	72
Management Plans	1	1	1	120	120
Totals	24		24		1,925

* Rounded.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Madonna Baucum,

Information Collection Clearance Officer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 2026–23856 Filed 11–23–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4333–15–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1483]

Certain Medical Imaging Devices; Notice of Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Granting a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation Based on Settlement; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (''ID'') (Order No. 17) of the presiding administrative law judge (''ALJ'') terminating the investigation in its entirety due to a settlement agreement. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: B. Rashmi Borah, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2518. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *https://edis.usitc.gov.* For help accessing EDIS, please email *EDIS3Help@usitc.gov.* General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at *https://www.usitc.gov.* Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on February 2, 2026, based on a complaint filed by MolecuLight Inc. of Toronto, Ontario, Canada and MolecuLight Corp. of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (collectively, ''Complainants''). 91 FR 4629–30 (Feb. 2, 2026). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain medical imaging devices by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 10,438,356 (''the '356 patent''). *Id.* at 4629. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The notice of investigation names two respondents: (1) Kent Imaging Inc. of Calgary, Alberta, Canada (''Kent'') and (2) Adiuvo Diagnostics Pvt. Ltd. of Chennai, India (''Adiuvo''). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (''OUII'') is also named as a party to this investigation. *Id.*

On May 22, 2026, the Commission amended the complaint and notice of investigation to add University Health Network of Toronto, Ontario, Canada (''UHN'') as a respondent. Order No. 12 (May 11, 2026), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (May 22, 2026). Kent, Adiuvo, and UHN are collectively the ''Respondents'' in this investigation.

On September 30, 2026, Complainants and Respondents filed a motion to terminate the investigation based on settlement. On October 9, 2026, OUII filed a response to the motion supporting termination. On October 14, 2026, the ALJ denied the private parties' motion because it ''redacted significantly more than confidential business information within the meaning of Commission Rule 210.6(a).'' Order No. 16 (Oct. 14, 2026). On October 20, 2026, Complainants and Respondents filed a joint corrected motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement.

On October 22, 2026, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 17) granting Complainants' and Respondents' joint corrected motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety. The ID finds that the motion complies with Commission Rule 210.21(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.21(b)(1). The ID also finds that terminating the investigation based on settlement would not adversely impact the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production or like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers. The ID also finds that termination of the investigation will preserve Commission resources and avoid unnecessary litigation. No party filed a petition for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. Accordingly, the investigation is terminated in its entirety.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on November 19, 2026.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 19, 2026.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026–23861 Filed 11–23–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1523]

Certain Dynamic Random Access Memory (DRAM) Devices, Products Containing the Same, and Components Thereof (III); Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation in Its Entirety Due to Settlement; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's (''ALJ'') initial determination (''ID'') (Order No. 5) granting a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety due to settlement. The investigation is terminated in its entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *https://edis.usitc.gov.* For help accessing EDIS, please email *EDIS3Help@usitc.gov.* General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at *https://www.usitc.gov.* Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 25, 2026, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by Netlist, Inc. (''Netlist'') of Irvine, California. 91 FR 60980–81 (Sept. 25, 2026). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain dynamic random access memory (DRAM) devices, products containing the same, and components thereof by reason of infringement of claims 1–13 and 16–18 of U.S. Patent No. 10,025,731; claims 1–15, 17, and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 10,217,523; claims 1–14 and 16–28 of U.S. Patent No. 12,373,366; and claims 1–20 of U.S. Patent No. 12,675,407. *Id.* The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents, Micron Technology, Inc. of Boise, Idaho; Micron Semiconductor Products, Inc. of Boise, Idaho (together, ''Micron''); Hewlett Packard Enterprise Co. (''HP'') of Spring, Texas; Lenovo Group Ltd. (''Lenovo'') of Quarry Bay, Hong Kong; Lenovo (United States) Inc. (''Lenovo US'') of Morrisville, North Carolina; and Super Micro Computer, Inc. (''Super Micro'') of San Jose, California. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (''OUII'') is participating in this investigation. *Id.*

On October 26, 2026, Netlist and Micron jointly moved to terminate the investigation in its entirety as to all respondents based upon settlement. The motion stated that the settlement agreements executed by Netlist and Micron ''are fully effective as between them,'' and that the agreements completely resolve the dispute between Netlist and Micron, as well as between Netlist and the other four respondents (HP, Lenovo, Lenovo US, Super Micro) because they receive their accused products from Micron. ID at 2. The motion stated that none of the four respondents opposed the motion. *Id.* The ID noted that OUII supports the motion. *Id.*

On October 27, 2026, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 5) granting the motion. The ID noted that Commission Rule 210.21 provides that ''[a]n investigation before the Commission may be terminated as to one or more respondents. . . on the basis of a licensing or other settlement agreement.'' ID at 2 (emphasis omitted) (citing 19 CFR 210.21(b)(1)). The ID found that the motion complies with the Commission rules and that there are no extraordinary circumstances that warrant denying the motion. *Id.* at 3. The ID observed that the parties provided both confidential and public versions of the settlement agreements in compliance with Commission Rule 201.6. *Id.* at 3. The ID further found that the motion includes a statement that ''there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between any of the parties concerning the subject matter of this Investigation.'' *Id.* The ID also found no evidence that termination of this investigation would be contrary to the public interest. *Id.*

Regarding the four respondents (HP, Lenovo, Lenovo US, Super Micro), who receive their accused products from Micron, but are not privy to the settlement agreements between Netlist and Micron, the ID stated that Netlist is effectively withdrawing the complaint as to them. *Id.* at 2–3. The ID noted that Commission Rule 210.21 provides that ''[a]ny party may move at any time prior to the issuance of an initial determination on violation of section 337 . . . to terminate an investigation in whole or in part as to any or all respondents, on the basis of withdrawal of the complaint or certain allegations contained therein.'' *Id.* at 4 (emphasis omitted) (citing 19 CFR 210.21(a)(1)). The ID found that the motion also complies with Commission rules as to withdrawal of the complaint as to HP, Lenovo, Lenovo US, and Super Micro. *Id.*

No one petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is hereby terminated in its entirety.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on November 19, 2026.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 19, 2026.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026–23863 Filed 11–23–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Notice Concerning the Commission's Appointment of Two Administrative Law Judges for Section 337 Investigations

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.