The Commission vote for this determination took place on November 19, 2026.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 19, 2026.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026–23861 Filed 11–23–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1523]

Certain Dynamic Random Access Memory (DRAM) Devices, Products Containing the Same, and Components Thereof (III); Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation in Its Entirety Due to Settlement; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's (''ALJ'') initial determination (''ID'') (Order No. 5) granting a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety due to settlement. The investigation is terminated in its entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *https://edis.usitc.gov.* For help accessing EDIS, please email *EDIS3Help@usitc.gov.* General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at *https://www.usitc.gov.* Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 25, 2026, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by Netlist, Inc. (''Netlist'') of Irvine, California. 91 FR 60980–81 (Sept. 25, 2026). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain dynamic random access memory (DRAM) devices, products containing the same, and components thereof by reason of infringement of claims 1–13 and 16–18 of U.S. Patent No. 10,025,731; claims 1–15, 17, and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 10,217,523; claims 1–14 and 16–28 of U.S. Patent No. 12,373,366; and claims 1–20 of U.S. Patent No. 12,675,407. *Id.* The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents, Micron Technology, Inc. of Boise, Idaho; Micron Semiconductor Products, Inc. of Boise, Idaho (together, ''Micron''); Hewlett Packard Enterprise Co. (''HP'') of Spring, Texas; Lenovo Group Ltd. (''Lenovo'') of Quarry Bay, Hong Kong; Lenovo (United States) Inc. (''Lenovo US'') of Morrisville, North Carolina; and Super Micro Computer, Inc. (''Super Micro'') of San Jose, California. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (''OUII'') is participating in this investigation. *Id.*

On October 26, 2026, Netlist and Micron jointly moved to terminate the investigation in its entirety as to all respondents based upon settlement. The motion stated that the settlement agreements executed by Netlist and Micron ''are fully effective as between them,'' and that the agreements completely resolve the dispute between Netlist and Micron, as well as between Netlist and the other four respondents (HP, Lenovo, Lenovo US, Super Micro) because they receive their accused products from Micron. ID at 2. The motion stated that none of the four respondents opposed the motion. *Id.* The ID noted that OUII supports the motion. *Id.*

On October 27, 2026, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 5) granting the motion. The ID noted that Commission Rule 210.21 provides that ''[a]n investigation before the Commission may be terminated as to one or more respondents. . . on the basis of a licensing or other settlement agreement.'' ID at 2 (emphasis omitted) (citing 19 CFR 210.21(b)(1)). The ID found that the motion complies with the Commission rules and that there are no extraordinary circumstances that warrant denying the motion. *Id.* at 3. The ID observed that the parties provided both confidential and public versions of the settlement agreements in compliance with Commission Rule 201.6. *Id.* at 3. The ID further found that the motion includes a statement that ''there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between any of the parties concerning the subject matter of this Investigation.'' *Id.* The ID also found no evidence that termination of this investigation would be contrary to the public interest. *Id.*

Regarding the four respondents (HP, Lenovo, Lenovo US, Super Micro), who receive their accused products from Micron, but are not privy to the settlement agreements between Netlist and Micron, the ID stated that Netlist is effectively withdrawing the complaint as to them. *Id.* at 2–3. The ID noted that Commission Rule 210.21 provides that ''[a]ny party may move at any time prior to the issuance of an initial determination on violation of section 337 . . . to terminate an investigation in whole or in part as to any or all respondents, on the basis of withdrawal of the complaint or certain allegations contained therein.'' *Id.* at 4 (emphasis omitted) (citing 19 CFR 210.21(a)(1)). The ID found that the motion also complies with Commission rules as to withdrawal of the complaint as to HP, Lenovo, Lenovo US, and Super Micro. *Id.*

No one petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is hereby terminated in its entirety.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on November 19, 2026.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 19, 2026.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026–23863 Filed 11–23–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Notice Concerning the Commission's Appointment of Two Administrative Law Judges for Section 337 Investigations

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has appointed two administrative law judges (''ALJs'') in conformance with the Constitution and relevant laws.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mercedeh Momeni, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3152. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at *http://www.usitc.gov.* Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), provides for certain determinations to be ''made on the record after notice and opportunity for a hearing in conformity with the provisions of subchapter II of chapter 5 of title 5.'' 19 U.S.C. 1337(c). Pursuant to subchapter II of chapter 5 of title 5, the Commission employs ALJs to conduct formal adjudications and to make initial and recommended determinations. 5 U.S.C. 556–557; 19 CFR 210.3 (''administrative law judge''). Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 3105, ''[e]ach agency shall appoint as many administrative law judges as are necessary for proceedings required to be conducted in accordance with sections 556 and 557 of this title.'' Pursuant to section 331 of the Tariff Act, 19 U.S.C. 1331, the Chairman of the Commission has the authority to ''appoint and fix the compensation of such employees of the Commission as he deems necessary,'' including ALJs. 19 U.S.C. 1331(a)(1)(A)(i). Any such decision by the Chairman, however, is ''subject to disapproval by a majority vote of all the commissioners in office.'' 19 U.S.C. 1331(a)(1)(C).

The Appointments Clause of the Constitution, art. II, § 2, cl. 2, provides, in relevant part, that Congress may vest the appointment of inferior officers ''in the Heads of Departments.'' In 2018, the Supreme Court decided that the ALJs of the Securities and Exchange Commission (''SEC'') are inferior officers whose appointments were to be made by the SEC and not by the SEC's staff. *Lucia* v. *SEC,* 585 U.S. 237, 248–49 (2018); *see Free Enterprise Fund* v. *Pub. Co. Accounting Oversight Bd.,* 561 U.S. 477, 512 (2010). The Commission has previously published **Federal Register** notices confirming the Commission's appointments of the Commission's ALJs. Notice, 90 FR 54367 (Nov. 26, 2025); Notice, 83 FR 45678–79 (Sept. 10, 2018).

By this notice, the Commission confirms its appointments of two recently hired ALJs. On October 16, 2026, the Commission, including the Chairman, voted to appoint Lisa A. Murray as an ALJ. On that same day, the Commission, including the Chairman, also voted to appoint Benjamin S. Richards as an ALJ.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 19, 2026.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026–23862 Filed 11–23–26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Medical Travel Refund Request

ACTION: Notice of availability; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor (DOL) is submitting this Office of Workers' Compensation Programs (OWCP)-sponsored information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA). Public comments on the ICR are invited.

DATES: The OMB will consider all written comments that the agency receives on or before December 24, 2026.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to *www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain.* Find this particular information collection by selecting ''Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments'' or by using the search function.

Comments are invited on: (1) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimates of the burden and cost of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Howell by telephone at 202–693–6782, or by email at *DOL_PRA_PUBLIC@dol.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: OWCP must reimburse beneficiaries for travel expenses for covered medical treatment. In order to determine whether amounts requested as travel expenses are appropriate, OWCP must receive certain data elements, including the signature of the physician for medical expenses claimed under the BLBA. Form OWCP–957 is the standard format for the collection of these data elements. The regulations implementing these three statutes allow for the collection of information needed to enable OWCP to determine if reimbursement requests for travel expenses should be paid. For additional substantive information about this ICR, see the related notice published in the **Federal Register** on June 16, 2026 (91 FR 36157).

Comments are invited on: (1) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimates of the burden and cost of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

This information collection is subject to the PRA. A Federal agency generally cannot conduct or sponsor a collection of information, and the public is generally not required to respond to an information collection, unless the OMB approves it and displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. In addition, notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no person shall generally be subject to penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information that does not display a valid OMB Control Number. See 5 CFR 1320.5(a) and 1320.6.

DOL seeks PRA authorization for this information collection for three (3) years. OMB authorization for an ICR cannot be for more than three (3) years without renewal. The DOL notes that information collection requirements submitted to the OMB for existing ICRs receive a month-to-month extension while they undergo review.

Agency: DOL–OWCP.