With respect to potassium permanganate from Spain, Inv. No. 731–TA–126 (Review), the Commission found that both the domestic interested party group response and the respondent interested party group response to its notice of institution [1] were adequate and voted to conduct a full review.

With respect to potassium permanganate from China, Inv. No. 731–TA–125 (Review), the Commission found that the domestic interested party group response was adequate and the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission also found that other circumstances warranted conducting a full review.[2]

A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 18, 1999.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–4569 Filed 2–23–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 731–TA–777–779 (Final)]

Certain Preserved Mushrooms From China, India, and Indonesia

Determinations

On the basis of the record [1] developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 735(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1673d(b)) (the Act), that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports from China, India, and Indonesia of certain preserved mushrooms, provided for in subheadings 0711.90.40 and 2003.10.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found by the Department of Commerce to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTVF).[2] Vice Chairman Miller and Commissioners Hillman and Koplan find that critical circumstances exist with respect to subject imports from China. Chairman Bragg and Commissioners Crawford and Askey find that critical circumstances do not exist with respect to subject imports from China.

Background

The Commission instituted these investigations effective January 6, 1998, following receipt of a petition filed with the Commission and the Department of Commerce by the Coalition for Fair Preserved Mushroom Trade and its members: L.K. Bowman, Inc., Nottingham, PA; Modern Mushroom Farms, Inc., Toughkenamon, PA; Monterey Mushrooms, Inc., Watsonville, CA; Mount Laurel Canning Corp., Temple, PA; Mushroom Canning Co., Kennett Square, PA; Sunny Dell Foods, Inc., Oxford, PA; and United Canning Corp., North Lima, OH.[3] The final phase of these investigations was scheduled by the Commission following notification of preliminary determinations by the Department of Commerce that imports of certain preserved mushrooms from China, India, and Indonesia were being sold at LTFV within the meaning of section 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. § 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of August 19, 1998 (63 FR 44470). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on October 15, 1998, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this investigation to the Secretary of Commerce on February 11, 1999. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3159 (February 1999), entitled *Certain Preserved Mushrooms from China, India, and Indonesia: Investigations Nos. 731–TA–777–779 (Final).*

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 19, 1999.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–4575 Filed 2–23–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–M

[1] The notice of institution for both of the subject reviews was published in the **Federal Register** on Nov. 2, 1998 (63 FR 58765).

[2] Commissioner Crawford dissenting.

[1] The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

[2] Commissioners Crawford and Askey dissenting with regard to Indonesia.

[3] On March 9, 1998, the Commission received notice that Southwood Farms, Hockessin, DE, had joined the petitioning coalition.

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Copyright Office

[Docket No. 98–12B]

Promotion of Distance Education Through Digital Technologies

AGENCY: Copyright Office, Library of Congress.

ACTION: Extension of deadline for submission of reply comments.

SUMMARY: The Copyright Office is extending the period for submission of reply comments in the above-referenced study on the promotion of distance education through digital technologies.

DATES: Reply comments must be received in the Copyright Office on or before 5:00 p.m. E.S.T. on March 3, 1999.

ADDRESSES: All submissions should be addressed to Sayuri Rajapakse, Attorney-Advisor, Office of Policy and International Affairs. For information on formats, see **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for file formats and other information about electronic filing. Those filings sent by regular mail should be sent to the U.S. Copyright Office, Copyright GC/I&R, P.O. Box 70400, Southwest Station, Washington, D.C. 20024. Submissions delivered by hand should be brought to the Office of Policy and International Affairs, Office of the Register, James Madison Memorial Building, Room LM–403, 101 Independence Avenue, Southeast, Washington, D.C. Submissions by telefax should be made to (202) 707–8366. Submissions by electronic mail should be made to ''disted@loc.gov.''

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sayuri Rajapakse, Attorney-Advisor, Office of Policy and International Affairs. Telephone: (202) 707–8350. Telefax: (202) 707–8366.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 23, 1998, the Copyright Office published a request for comments and notice of public hearing on the promotion of distance education through digital technologies, in connection with the Office's study of distance education in accordance with Section 403 of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act of 1998. (Pub. L. 105–304, 112 Stat. 2860) 63 FR 71167 (December 23, 1998). Comments were due to be filed by February 5, 1999; reply