

**Oneida County**

Camroden Presbyterian Church, 8049 E.  
Floyd Rd., Floyd, 06001204

**Onondaga County**

Borodino District School #8, 1845 Rose Hill  
Rd., Borodino, 06001206

**Schenectady County**

Swart House and Tavern, 130 Johnson Rd.,  
Glenville, 06001211

**Suffolk County**

Wereholme, 5500 S. Bay Ave., Islip,  
06001208

**TENNESSEE****Obion County**

Whitesell, Jesse Farm (Boundary Increase),  
KY 116 W of Purchase Pkwy., Fulton,  
06001199

**VIRGINIA****Richmond Independent City**

Lee, Robert E., Monument, 1700 Monument  
Ave., jct. of Monument and Allen Aves.,  
Richmond (Independent City), 06001213

**WASHINGTON****Clark County**

Vancouver National Historic Reserve Historic  
District, Roughly bounded by an alley N of  
Officers' Row, East Reserve St., Columbia  
River, and I-5, Vancouver, 06001216

**King County**

YWCA Building—Seattle, 1118 Fifth Ave.,  
Seattle, 06001215

**Pierce County**

Balfour Dock Building, 705 Dock St.,  
Tacoma, 06001214

To assist in the preservation of this  
historic property the comment period  
has been shortened to five (5) days:

**KENTUCKY****Jefferson County**

Bannon, Martin Jeff (M.J.), House, 5112  
Bannon Crossing, Louisville, 06001196

[FR Doc. E6-20926 Filed 12-8-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312-51-P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE  
COMMISSION**

[Inv. No. 337-TA-491; Inv. No. 337-TA-481  
(consolidated) Enforcement Proceeding]

**In the Matter of Certain Display  
Controllers and Products Containing  
Same and Certain Display Controllers  
With Upscaling Functionality and  
Products Containing Same; Notice of  
Commission Decision Not To Review  
an Initial Determination of the  
Administrative Law Judge Terminating  
the Enforcement Proceeding Based on  
a Settlement Agreement**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade  
Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that  
the U.S. International Trade  
Commission has determined not to  
review the presiding administrative law  
judge's ("ALJ") initial determination  
("ID") (Order No. 46) terminating the  
above-captioned enforcement  
proceeding based on a settlement  
agreement.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the  
General Counsel, U.S. International  
Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW.,  
Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202)  
205-3061. Copies of all nonconfidential  
documents filed in connection with this  
investigation are or will be available for  
inspection during official business  
hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the  
Office of the Secretary, U.S.  
International Trade Commission, 500 E  
Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436,  
telephone 202-205-2000. General  
information concerning the Commission  
may also be obtained by accessing its  
Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).  
The public record for this investigation  
may be viewed on the Commission's  
electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov/>. Hearing-impaired  
persons are advised that information on  
the matter can be obtained by contacting  
the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-  
205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On August  
20, 2004, the Commission terminated  
the above-captioned investigation and  
issued a limited exclusion order ("the  
Order") which denies entry to certain  
display controllers manufactured, inter  
alia, by respondent MStar  
Semiconductor, Inc. ("MStar") and  
covered by claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 12, 13, 16,  
17, 33-36, 38, and 39 of U.S. Patent  
5,739,867. On April 24, 2006,  
complainant Genesis Microchip  
(Delaware) Inc. ("Genesis") filed a  
complaint for enforcement of the

Commission's Order under Commission  
Rule 210.75. Genesis asserted that  
respondent MStar had violated the  
Commission's Order by importing its  
allegedly infringing Tsunami display  
controllers into the United States.

On June 23, 2006, the Commission  
issued a "Notice of Institution of Formal  
Enforcement Proceeding." See 71 Fed.  
Reg. 37096 (June 29, 2006). On October  
25, 2006, complainant Genesis and  
respondent MStar filed a joint motion to  
terminate the enforcement proceeding  
on the basis of a settlement agreement  
pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21.  
See 19 CFR. 210.21. On November 6,  
2006, the Commission investigative  
attorney filed a response in support of  
the motion.

On November 8, 2006, the ALJ issued  
an ID (Order No. 46) granting the  
motion. No party petitioned for review  
of Order No. 46.

The Commission has determined not  
to review Order No. 46.

The authority for the Commission's  
determination is contained in section  
337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as  
amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in  
section 210.42(h) of the Commission's  
Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR  
210.42(h)).

By order of the Commission.

Dated: December 6, 2006.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E6-21008 Filed 12-8-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE  
COMMISSION**

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-471 and 472  
(Second Review)]

**Silicon Metal From Brazil and China****Determinations**

On the basis of the record <sup>1</sup> developed  
in the subject five-year reviews, the  
United States International Trade  
Commission (Commission) determines,  
pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff  
Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the  
Act), that revocation of the antidumping  
duty order on silicon metal from Brazil  
would not be likely to lead to  
continuation or recurrence of material  
injury to an industry in the United  
States within a reasonably foreseeable  
time. The Commission also determined  
that revocation of the antidumping duty  
order on silicon metal from China  
would be likely to lead to continuation

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the  
Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19  
CFR 207.2(f)).