



FEDERAL REGISTER

Vol. 90

Wednesday,

No. 193

October 8, 2025

Pages 48161–48192

OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL REGISTER



The **FEDERAL REGISTER** (ISSN 0097-6326) is published daily, Monday through Friday, except official holidays, by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration, under the Federal Register Act (44 U.S.C. Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). The Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Publishing Office, is the exclusive distributor of the official edition. Periodicals postage is paid at Washington, DC.

The **FEDERAL REGISTER** provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive Orders, Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by act of Congress, and other Federal agency documents of public interest.

Documents are on file for public inspection in the Office of the Federal Register the day before they are published, unless the issuing agency requests earlier filing. For a list of documents currently on file for public inspection, see www.federalregister.gov.

The seal of the National Archives and Records Administration authenticates the **Federal Register** as the official serial publication established under the Federal Register Act. Under 44 U.S.C. 1507, the contents of the **Federal Register** shall be judicially noticed.

The **Federal Register** is published in paper and on 24x microfiche. It is also available online at no charge at www.govinfo.gov, a service of the U.S. Government Publishing Office.

The online edition of the **Federal Register** is issued under the authority of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register as the official legal equivalent of the paper and microfiche editions (44 U.S.C. 4101 and 1 CFR 5.10). It is updated by 6:00 a.m. each day the **Federal Register** is published and includes both text and graphics from Volume 1, 1 (March 14, 1936) forward. For more information, contact the GPO Customer Contact Center, U.S. Government Publishing Office. Phone 202-512-1800 or 866-512-1800 (toll free). E-mail, gpocusthelp.com.

The annual subscription price for the **Federal Register** paper edition is \$860 plus postage, or \$929, for a combined **Federal Register**, **Federal Register** Index and List of CFR Sections Affected (LSA) subscription; the microfiche edition of the **Federal Register** including the **Federal Register** Index and LSA is \$330, plus postage. Six month subscriptions are available for one-half the annual rate. The prevailing postal rates will be applied to orders according to the delivery method requested. The price of a single copy of the daily **Federal Register**, including postage, is based on the number of pages: \$11 for an issue containing less than 200 pages; \$22 for an issue containing 200 to 400 pages; and \$33 for an issue containing more than 400 pages. Single issues of the microfiche edition may be purchased for \$3 per copy, including postage. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, or charge to your GPO Deposit Account, VISA, MasterCard, American Express, or Discover. Mail to: U.S. Government Publishing Office—New Orders, P.O. Box 979050, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000; or call toll free 1-866-512-1800, DC area 202-512-1800; or go to the U.S. Government Online Bookstore site, see bookstore.gpo.gov.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the **Federal Register**.

How To Cite This Publication: Use the volume number and the page number. Example: 90 FR 12345.

Postmaster: Send address changes to the Superintendent of Documents, Federal Register, U.S. Government Publishing Office, Washington, DC 20402, along with the entire mailing label from the last issue received.

SUBSCRIPTIONS AND COPIES

PUBLIC

Subscriptions:

Paper or fiche 202-512-1800
Assistance with public subscriptions 202-512-1806

General online information 202-512-1530; 1-888-293-6498

Single copies/back copies:

Paper or fiche 202-512-1800
Assistance with public single copies 1-866-512-1800
(Toll-Free)

FEDERAL AGENCIES

Subscriptions:

Assistance with Federal agency subscriptions:

Email FRSubscriptions@nara.gov
Phone 202-741-6000

The Federal Register Printing Savings Act of 2017 (Pub. L. 115-120) placed restrictions on distribution of official printed copies of the daily **Federal Register** to members of Congress and Federal offices. Under this Act, the Director of the Government Publishing Office may not provide printed copies of the daily **Federal Register** unless a Member or other Federal office requests a specific issue or a subscription to the print edition. For more information on how to subscribe use the following website link: <https://www.gpo.gov/frsubs>.



Contents

Federal Register

Vol. 90, No. 193

Wednesday, October 8, 2025

Commerce Department*See* Patent and Trademark Office**Federal Railroad Administration****NOTICES**

Projects Located Off the Northeast Corridor for the Fiscal
Year 2024 Federal-State Partnership for Intercity
Passenger Rail Program, 48164

Homeland Security Department**NOTICES**

Determination:

Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility
Act, 48163–48164

Patent and Trademark Office**NOTICES**

Automated Search Pilot Program, 48161–48163

Presidential Documents**ADMINISTRATIVE ORDERS**

Decision of the President and Statement of Reasons
(Decision of October 6, 2025), 48165–48192

Transportation Department*See* Federal Railroad Administration

Separate Parts In This Issue**Part II**Presidential Documents, 48165–48192

Reader Aids

Consult the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue for phone numbers, online resources, finding aids, and notice of recently enacted public laws.

To subscribe to the Federal Register Table of Contents electronic mailing list, go to <https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/USGPOOFR/subscriber/new>, enter your e-mail address, then follow the instructions to join, leave, or manage your subscription.

CFR PARTS AFFECTED IN THIS ISSUE

A cumulative list of the parts affected this month can be found in the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue.

3 CFR

Administrative Orders:

Decision of October 6,
202548167

Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 90, No. 193

Wednesday, October 8, 2025

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

[Docket No.: PTO-P-2025-0011]

Automated Search Pilot Program

AGENCY: United States Patent and Trademark Office, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) is implementing the Automated Search Pilot Program to evaluate the impact of sharing the results of an automated search prior to examination of an original, noncontinuing, nonprovisional utility patent application. Conducting an automated search and sending an Automated Search Results Notice (ASRN) to the applicant will provide the applicant with an earlier communication regarding potential prior art issues in their application. To participate in this new pilot procedure, the applicant must file a petition accompanied by a petition fee. With this pilot program, the USPTO aims to ascertain the impact of sharing a pre-examination search report on prosecution by applicants, evaluate the scalability of generating ASRNs, and collect data to inform next steps. This notice is to inform applicants of the pilot program and to provide them with important details regarding the program, including how to participate.

DATES: The Automated Search Pilot Program will accept petitions to participate in the program beginning October 20, 2025, until either April 20, 2026, or the date that each Technology Center (TC) that examines utility applications is docketed at least 200 applications accepted into this program, whichever occurs first. The USPTO's plan is to accept petitions in at least 1,600 patent applications. The USPTO may extend this program to accept petitions to receive an ASRN in

additional patent applications if it determines that more information is needed to evaluate the effectiveness of the program.

The USPTO may, at its sole discretion, terminate this program for any reason, including factors such as workload and resources needed to administer the program, feedback from the public, and effectiveness of the program. The USPTO will publish a notification on its website prior to terminating the program advising the public of when petitions to participate in the program will no longer be accepted.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions or comments regarding this pilot program may be directed to: Eugenia A. Jones, Senior Legal Advisor, Office of Patent Legal Administration, at 571-272-7727; or Kristie A. Mahone, Senior Legal Advisor, Office of Patent Legal Administration, at 571-272-9016; or AutomatedSearchPilot@uspto.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The USPTO is implementing the Automated Search Pilot Program to evaluate the impact of sharing the results of an automated search prior to examination of an application. Applicants who wish to participate in the program must file a petition under 37 CFR 1.182 using the USPTO form discussed in Part II of this notice, accompanied by the petition fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(f). If the petition is granted, the USPTO will conduct an automated search and send an Automated Search Results Notice (ASRN) to the applicant. The ASRN will provide the applicant with an earlier communication regarding potential prior art issues in their application. The earlier communication may provide the applicant with an opportunity to assess prior art issues before substantive examination and make a more informed decision on how to proceed with the application. The ASRN also provides a new pathway to identify relevant prior art for patent examiners to improve examination quality and efficiency. Applicants are not required to respond to the ASRN. However, the applicant may opt to place the application in better condition for examination by filing a preliminary amendment, requesting deferral of examination, or filing a petition for express abandonment to seek a refund of certain fees if examination is no longer desired.

The USPTO plans to use the results of this program to ascertain the impact of sharing the results of a pre-examination search on prosecution by applicants, evaluate the scalability of generating ASRNs, and collect data to inform next steps.

Part I. Pilot Program Eligibility

Only original, noncontinuing, nonprovisional utility applications filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after October 20, 2025, and on or before April 20, 2026, are eligible to participate in the pilot program. Thus, the following applications will not be included: international applications that have entered the national stage under 35 U.S.C. 371; plant applications; design applications; and reissue applications. In addition, continuing (*i.e.*, continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) applications will not be included.

To be eligible for this program, the application must be filed electronically using the USPTO's Patent Center (<https://patentcenter.uspto.gov>). The specification, claim(s), and abstract of the application must conform to the USPTO requirements for DOCX submission at the time the application is filed.

Applicant must also enroll in the Patent Center Electronic Office (e-Office) Action Program to participate in the Automated Search Pilot Program. Information on how to enroll in the Patent Center e-Office Action program is available at www.uspto.gov/patents/apply/checking-application-status/e-office-action-program.

The USPTO plans to accept at least 1,600 patent applications distributed across the Technology Centers (TCs) that examine utility applications, including at least 200 applications per TC that examines utility applications, but appreciates that participation levels across TCs may vary. Disparities in participation across TCs, such as where the number of applications accepted in some TCs significantly exceeds 200, may prompt early termination of the program.

The USPTO will, from time to time, update its website to indicate the total number of petitions to participate in the pilot program that have been received and the total number of petitions granted, including a breakdown of the number of petitions granted per TC. The USPTO will also indicate the expected

closure date of the program, after which no newly filed petitions will be accepted.

Part II. Petition Under 37 CFR 1.182 To Participate in the Pilot Program

To participate in this pilot program, applicant must file a properly signed petition using Form PTO/SB/470, titled "CERTIFICATION AND PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.182 TO PARTICIPATE IN THE AUTOMATED SEARCH PILOT PROGRAM." The form must be filed electronically in Patent Center on the filing date of the application and be accompanied by the petition fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(f). Form PTO/SB/470 contains the necessary petition and certifications that the pilot program eligibility conditions discussed in Part I of this notice have been met. Use of the form will enable the USPTO to quickly identify and timely process the petition. Under 5 CFR 1320.3(h), Form PTO/SB/470 does not collect "information" within the meaning of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The form is available at www.uspto.gov/PatentForms.

The USPTO will not render a decision on the petition until the application has completed pre-examination processing. If the petition is grantable, the USPTO will issue a decision granting the petition indicating that the application has been accepted into the program and that an ASRN will be generated. The decision granting the petition will be separate from the ASRN. If the application does not meet the eligibility requirements for the program, the USPTO will issue a decision dismissing the petition that will notify the applicant that an ASRN will not be generated.

In view of the limited duration of the pilot program and the limited number of applications being accepted into the pilot program, an applicant will not have an opportunity to correct deficiencies in the petition after a petition is dismissed. Applicant may file only a single petition under the pilot program for an application, and a second or subsequent petition filed in the application will be dismissed.

Part III. Automated Search

The automated search will be conducted using an internal Artificial Intelligence (AI) tool. The AI tool uses the classification of the application under the Cooperative Patent Classification (CPC) system, as well as the specification, including the claims and abstract, of the application as contextual information. The AI tool will use the contextual information to find similar information in publicly available

documents located in a number of databases available to the USPTO, including U.S. Patents, U.S. Pre-Grant Publications (PG-Pubs), and Foreign Image and Text (FIT). The FIT database includes publications from a number of foreign patent authorities. The AI tool will rank the returned documents from most to least relevant.

The AI models supporting the automated search are trained using publicly available patent data, including text of patents and published applications, patent classifications, document citations, and human-rated similarity. The training data excludes applicant, inventor, and assignee information because this information may introduce potential biases in the model. The USPTO has implemented measures for the AI tool to ensure data security and maintain patent application confidentiality as required by 35 U.S.C. 122(a). See *New Artificial Intelligence Functionality in PE2E Search*, 1504 OG 359 (November 15, 2022).

Part IV. Automated Search Results Notice

The USPTO will send an ASRN to the applicant and place a copy in the application file. The ASRN is not considered a notification under 35 U.S.C. 132, and the applicant is not required to respond to the ASRN.

The ASRN will include a listing of up to 10 documents returned by the AI tool listed in descending order of relevance as determined by the AI tool. Copies of the documents cited in the ASRN will not be placed in the file. The ASRN will further include a search string that may be entered into the USPTO's Patent Public Search (PPUBS) tool, available at www.uspto.gov/patents/search/patent-public-search, to easily retrieve copies of the cited U.S. Patents and PG-Pubs. Copies of foreign patent documents are not currently available in PPUBS. Tools for accessing a number of foreign patent documents are available on the USPTO website at www.uspto.gov/patents/search. The ASRN will have content similar to the content of Form PTO-892 and will include the document number, date, inventor name, and CPC information.

Part V. Implementation Information

The ASRN will be generated after the application has undergone pre-examination processing and a petition to participate in the program has been granted, but prior to examination by a USPTO patent examiner. The results of the automated search may inform a decision on how to proceed with the application. For example, the applicant

may file a preliminary amendment under 37 CFR 1.115. Any such preliminary amendment should be submitted as soon as possible to reduce the likelihood that the amendment unduly interferes with preparation of the first Office action. See 37 CFR 1.115 and section 714.01(e) of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) (9th Edition, Rev. 01.2024, November 2024). Alternatively, the applicant may submit a petition for express abandonment under 37 CFR 1.138(d) to seek a refund of the search fee and any excess claims fees, or a request for deferral of examination under 37 CFR 1.103(d). A petition for express abandonment or a request for deferral of examination must be timely submitted. See MPEP 711.01, subsection III and MPEP 709, subsection I.

The examiner will consider the documents listed on the ASRN "in the same manner as other documents in Office search files are considered by the examiner while conducting a search of the prior art in a proper field of search." See MPEP 609. There is no requirement for the examiner to list the documents from the ASRN on Form PTO-892 unless the document has been relied upon in a prior art rejection. Also, there is no requirement for the applicant to provide a separate listing of the documents. The references listed on the ASRN will appear on the face of the patent if made of record by the examiner on a Form PTO-892 or cited by the applicant on an Information Disclosure Statement in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. See MPEP 707.05 and MPEP 1302.12.

Part VI. Evaluation of the Pilot Program

The pilot program is designed to evaluate the impact of sharing the results of an automated search prior to examination of a patent application. The USPTO will evaluate the scalability of generating and mailing the ASRN, and acquire information to inform next steps. For example, the USPTO may collect data as to the usefulness of the ASRN for applicants in assessing patentability early in the examination process. During or after the pilot program, the USPTO anticipates providing an avenue for participants to provide feedback regarding the pilot program. As applicable, the USPTO will follow the GAO's Leading Practices for Effective Pilot Design, including (1) establishing clear objectives, (2) collecting relevant data, (3) evaluating outcomes, (4) considering scalability, and (5) ensuring stakeholder communication. See GAO, *Data Act: Section 5 Pilot Design Issues Need to Be Addressed to Meet Goal of Reducing*

Recipient Reporting Burden, GAO–16–438 (Washington, DC; April 19, 2016).

John A. Squires,

Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

[FR Doc. 2025–19493 Filed 10–7–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–16–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Office of the Secretary

Determination Pursuant to Section 102 of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996, as Amended

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, Department of Homeland Security.

ACTION: Notice of determination.

SUMMARY: The Secretary of Homeland Security has determined, pursuant to law, that it is necessary to waive certain laws, regulations, and other legal requirements in order to ensure the expeditious construction of barriers and roads in the vicinity of the international land border in the state of New Mexico.

DATES: This determination takes effect on October 8, 2025.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Important mission requirements of the Department of Homeland Security (“DHS”) include border security and the detection and prevention of illegal entry into the United States. Border security is critical to the nation’s national security. Recognizing the critical importance of border security, Congress has mandated DHS to achieve and maintain operational control of the international land border. Secure Fence Act of 2006, Public Law 109–367, section 2, 120 Stat. 2638 (Oct. 26, 2006) (8 U.S.C. 1701 note). Congress defined “operational control” as the prevention of all unlawful entries into the United States, including entries by terrorists, other unlawful aliens, instruments of terrorism, narcotics, and other contraband. *Id.* Consistent with that mandate, the President’s Executive Order on Securing Our Borders directs that I take all appropriate action to deploy and construct physical barriers to ensure complete operational control of the southern border of the United States. Executive Order 14165, section 3 (Jan. 20, 2025).

Congress has provided to the Secretary of Homeland Security a number of authorities necessary to carry out DHS’s border security mission. One of those authorities is found at section

102 of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996, as amended (“IIRIRA”). Public Law 104–208, Div. C, 110 Stat. 3009–546, 3009–554 (Sept. 30, 1996) (8 U.S.C. 1103 note), as amended by the REAL ID Act of 2005, Public Law 109–13, Div. B, 119 Stat. 231, 302, 306 (May 11, 2005) (8 U.S.C. 1103 note), as amended by the Secure Fence Act of 2006, Public Law 109–367, section 3, 120 Stat. 2638 (Oct. 26, 2006) (8 U.S.C. 1103 note), as amended by the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2008, Public Law 110–161, Div. E, Title V, section 564, 121 Stat. 2090 (Dec. 26, 2007). In section 102(a) of IIRIRA, Congress provided that the Secretary of Homeland Security shall take such actions as may be necessary to install additional physical barriers and roads (including the removal of obstacles to detection of illegal entrants) in the vicinity of the United States border to deter illegal crossings in areas of high illegal entry into the United States. In section 102(b) of IIRIRA, Congress mandated that in carrying out the authority of section 102(a), I provide for the installation of additional fencing, barriers, roads, lighting, cameras, and sensors to achieve and maintain operational control of the border. Finally, in section 102(c) of IIRIRA, Congress granted to the Secretary of Homeland Security the authority to waive all legal requirements that I, in my sole discretion, determine necessary to ensure the expeditious construction of barriers and roads authorized by section 102 of IIRIRA.

Determination and Waiver

Section 1

The United States Border Patrol El Paso Sector is an area of high illegal entry. Between fiscal year 2021 and July 2025, Border Patrol apprehended over 1,229,400 illegal aliens attempting to enter the United States between border crossings in the El Paso Sector. In that same time period Border Patrol seized over 14,468 pounds of marijuana, over 1,347 pounds of cocaine, over 42 pounds of heroin, over 3,086 pounds of methamphetamine, and over 254 pounds of fentanyl.

Since the President took office, DHS has delivered the most secure border in history. More can and must be done, however. As the statistics cited above demonstrate, the El Paso Sector is an area of high illegal entry where illegal aliens regularly attempt to enter the United States and smuggle illicit drugs, and given my mandate to achieve and maintain operational control of the border, I must use my authority under

section 102 of IIRIRA to install additional barriers and roads in the El Paso Sector. Therefore, DHS will take immediate action to construct additional barriers and roads in a segment of the border in the El Paso Sector. The segment where such construction will occur is referred to herein as the “project area,” which is more specifically described in Section 2 below.

Section 2

I determine that the following area in the vicinity of the United States border, located in the State of New Mexico within the U.S. Border Patrol El Paso Sector, is an area of high illegal entry (the “project area”): Starting at approximately Border Monument 49 and extending north and then east to Border Monument 1.

There is presently an acute and immediate need to construct additional physical barriers and roads in the vicinity of the border of the United States in order to prevent unlawful entries into the United States in the project area pursuant to section 102(a) and 102(b) of IIRIRA. In order to ensure the expeditious construction of additional physical barriers and roads in the project area, I have determined that it is necessary that I exercise the authority that is vested in me by section 102(c) of IIRIRA.

Accordingly, pursuant to section 102(c) of IIRIRA, I hereby waive in their entirety, with respect to the construction of physical barriers and roads (including, but not limited to, accessing the project area, creating and using staging areas, the conduct of earthwork, excavation, fill, and site preparation, and installation and upkeep of physical barriers, roads, supporting elements, drainage, erosion controls, safety features, lighting, cameras, and sensors) in the project area, all of the following statutes, including all federal, state, or other laws, regulations, and legal requirements of, deriving from, or related to the subject of, the following statutes, as amended: The National Environmental Policy Act (Pub. L. 91–190, 83 Stat. 852 (Jan. 1, 1970) (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*)); the Endangered Species Act (Pub. L. 93–205, 87 Stat. 884 (Dec. 28, 1973) (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*)); the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (commonly referred to as the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 *et seq.*)); the National Historic Preservation Act (Pub. L. 89–665, 80 Stat. 915 (Oct. 15, 1966), as amended, repealed, or replaced by Pub. L. 113–287 (Dec. 19, 2014) (formerly codified at 16 U.S.C. 470 *et seq.*, now codified at 54 U.S.C.

100101 note and 54 U.S.C. 300101 *et seq.*); the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. 703 *et seq.*); the Migratory Bird Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 715 *et seq.*); the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*); the Archeological Resources Protection Act (Pub. L. 96–95 (16 U.S.C. 470aa *et seq.*)); the Paleontological Resources Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470aaa *et seq.*); the Federal Cave Resources Protection Act of 1988 (16 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.*); the National Trails System Act (16 U.S.C. 1241 *et seq.*), the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300f *et seq.*); the Noise Control Act (42 U.S.C. 4901 *et seq.*); the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (42 U.S.C. 6901 *et seq.*); the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (42 U.S.C. 9601 *et seq.*); the Archaeological and Historic Preservation Act (Pub. L. 86–523, as amended, repealed, or replaced by Pub. L. 113–287 (Dec. 19, 2014) (formerly codified at 16 U.S.C. 469 *et seq.*, now codified at 54 U.S.C. 312502 *et seq.*)); the Antiquities Act (formerly codified at 16 U.S.C. 431 *et seq.* and 16 U.S.C. 431a *et seq.*, now codified 54 U.S.C. 320301 *et seq.*); the Historic Sites, Buildings, and Antiquities Act (formerly codified at 16 U.S.C. 461 *et seq.*, now codified at 54 U.S.C. 320301–320303 & 320101–320106); the Eagle Protection Act (16 U.S.C. 668 *et seq.*); the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (25 U.S.C. 3001 *et seq.*); the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 551 *et seq.*); Section 438 of the Energy Independence and Security Act (42 U.S.C. 17094); the National Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956 (Pub. L. 84–1024 (16 U.S.C. 742a, *et seq.*)); the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (Pub. L. 73–121 (16 U.S.C. 661 *et seq.*)); the

Farmland Protection Policy Act (7 U.S.C. 4201 *et seq.*); the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (Pub. L. 94–579 (43 U.S.C. 1701 *et seq.*)); and the Wild Horse and Burro Act (16 U.S.C. 1331 *et seq.*).

This waiver does not revoke or supersede any other waiver determination made pursuant to section 102(c) of IIRIRA. Such waivers shall remain in full force and effect in accordance with their terms. I reserve the authority to execute further waivers from time to time as I may determine to be necessary under section 102 of IIRIRA.

Kristi Noem,

Secretary of Homeland Security.

[FR Doc. 2025–19494 Filed 10–7–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111–14–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Notice of Amendment for Projects Located Off the Northeast Corridor for the Fiscal Year 2024 Federal-State Partnership for Intercity Passenger Rail Program

AGENCY: Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of amendment.

SUMMARY: On September 24, 2025, FRA published a reissued Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO Notice) in the **Federal Register** announcing the availability of funding and procedures to obtain grant funding for eligible projects located off the Northeast Corridor for the Fiscal Year (FY) 2024–2025 Federal-State Partnership for Intercity Passenger Rail Program (FSP-

National). In the NOFO Notice published on September 24, 2025, the funding opportunity ID for FY 2024–2025 FSP-National was incorrectly listed as FR–FSP–23–004. The full text of the September 24, 2025 NOFO can be found at www.Grants.gov using the funding opportunity ID FR–FSP–25–006.

DATES: Applications for funding under this solicitation are due no later than 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time (ET) January 7, 2026. Applications that are incomplete or received after 11:59 p.m. EST January 7, 2026 will not be considered for funding. FRA reserves the right to modify this deadline. See Section 4 of the reissued NOFO for additional information on the application process.

ADDRESSES: Applicants must submit all application materials, in their entirety, through www.Grants.gov. FRA is committed to ensuring that information is available in appropriate alternative formats to meet the requirements of persons who have a disability. If you require an alternative version of files provided, please contact FRA-NOFO-Support@dot.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information concerning this notice, grant application submission, and processing questions, please contact FRA-NOFO-Support@dot.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This Notice amends the NOFO Notice published on September 24, 2025 at 90 FR 45976 to replace the funding opportunity ID from FR–FSP–23–004 to the correct funding opportunity ID FR–FSP–25–006.

Robert Andrew Feeley,

Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2025–19498 Filed 10–7–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–06–P



FEDERAL REGISTER

Vol. 90

Wednesday,

No. 193

October 8, 2025

Part II

The President


Decision of October 6, 2025—Decision of the President and Statement of Reasons

Presidential Documents

Title 3—**Decision of October 6, 2025****The President****Decision of the President and Statement of Reasons**

I approve the appeal made by the applicant Alaska Industrial Development and Export Authority (AIDEA) on June 6, 2025, under section 1106(a) of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (ANILCA) and approve AIDEA's 2016 revised consolidated application for a transportation system known as the Ambler Road Project for the reasons explained in the following Statement of Reasons. In making my decision, I have considered all relevant information as necessary and appropriate in accordance with section 1106(a)(2) of the ANILCA.

Accordingly, I direct each Federal agency concerned to promptly issue such authorizations as are necessary with respect to the establishment of the Ambler Road Project. The details of the manner in which these authorizations must be issued are addressed in the Statement of Reasons.



THE WHITE HOUSE,

October 6, 2025.

STATEMENT OF REASONS

I. Background

On June 6, 2025, the Alaska Industrial Development and Export Authority (AIDEA) submitted an appeal to me under Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (ANILCA) section 1106(a)(1)(B).¹ AIDEA appeals the Bureau of Land Management’s (BLM’s) 2024 decision to disapprove AIDEA’s proposed Ambler Road Project (Project or Road).²

Under section 1106(a), a rejection of an application “for the approval of any transportation or utility system” by any agency may be appealed “to the President.”³ Section 1106(a) applies to any transportation system “to which section [1105] of this title does not apply or that does not occupy, use, or traverse any area within the National Wilderness Preservation System.”⁴

I have jurisdiction over this appeal for three reasons. First, AIDEA seeks a “transportation or utility system,” which is defined by section 1102(4) to include “[r]oads” “and other systems of general transportation” for which “any portion . . . will be within any conservation system unit, national recreation area, or national conservation area.”⁵ Here, “the route of the proposed road would traverse a

¹ 16 U.S.C. 3166(a)(1)(B).

² See Ambler Road Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement Record of Decision, at 16 (June 2024) (2024 ROD).

³ 16 U.S.C. 3166(a)(1)(B).

⁴ *Id.* 3166(a)(1).

⁵ *Id.* 3162(4)(A), (B)(vii).

conservation system unit”—namely, the Gates of the Arctic National Preserve (GAAR).⁶ Second, section 1105 applies only when “there is no applicable law,”⁷ and here the BLM applied other “law[s] of general applicability.”⁸ Third, the proposed Road “would not pass through Wilderness.”⁹ Thus, section 1106(a) applies. Consistent with section 1106(a)(1)(B), AIDEA is entitled to appeal the BLM’s rejection of the Ambler Road Project directly to the President.

Section 1106(a) otherwise describes the process for deciding an appeal:

The President shall approve the application if he finds, after consideration of the factors set forth in section [1104(g)(2)] of this title, that such approval would be in the public interest and that (1) such system would be compatible with the purposes for which the unit was established; and (2) there is no economically feasible and prudent alternative route for the system. In making a decision, the President shall consider any environmental impact statement prepared pursuant to section [1104(e)], comments of the public and Federal agencies received during the preparation of such statement, and the findings and recommendations, if any, of each Federal agency that rendered a decision with respect to the application.¹⁰

ANILCA gives the President four months to “decide whether to approve or deny the application,” and his decision “shall be published in the Federal Register,

⁶ 2024 ROD at 16.

⁷ 16 U.S.C. 3165.

⁸ See 2024 ROD at 1 (listing laws, including the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA)).

⁹ Final Ambler Road Environmental Impact Statement, at 3-122 (Mar. 2020) (2020 EIS); see also Ambler Road Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement, at 3-172 (Apr. 2024) (2024 SEIS).

¹⁰ 16 U.S.C. 3166(a)(2).

together with a statement of the reasons for his determination.”¹¹ And “[i]f the President approves an application . . . each Federal agency concerned shall promptly issue, in accordance with applicable law, such rights-of-way, permits, licenses, leases, certificates, or other authorizations as are necessary with respect to the establishment of the system.”¹² The President’s approval of an application in a section 1106(a) appeal is final.¹³

II. Consideration of Information

In making my decision and in accordance with section 1106(a)(2), I have considered all environmental impact statements prepared pursuant to section 1104(e), comments of the public and Federal agencies received during the preparation of such statements, the findings and recommendations of each Federal agency that rendered a decision with respect to the application, all other relevant environmental statements, findings by Federal agencies pertaining to this application, the appellate brief and record submitted by AIDEA, and additional relevant information as necessary and appropriate. Below is a summary of certain information I considered most relevant to my decision. Omission of a specific reference to a particular document does not mean that I did not consider it.

¹¹ *Id.*

¹² *Id.* 3166(a)(3).

¹³ *Id.*

The Ambler Road Project is an approximately 211-mile private, industrial access road heading west from the Dalton Highway (formerly Alaska Pipeline Haul Road or North Slope Haul Road) to the Ambler Mining District (District) in Northwest Alaska.¹⁴ The District, which contains copper, silver, gold, lead, cobalt and other strategic metals, is one of the largest undeveloped copper-zinc mineral belts in the world.¹⁵

In November 2015, AIDEA submitted an SF-299 consolidated application for a 250-foot-wide right-of-way (ROW)—in accord with section 1104(b) and 43 C.F.R. 36.4—for the Road to five Federal agencies: BLM, National Park Service (NPS), Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), and U.S. Coast Guard (USCG).¹⁶ After agency consultations, AIDEA filed a revised consolidated SF-299 application with supplemental information in June 2016.¹⁷ The completion of the application process triggered section 201(4)(d), which provides specific procedures for affording access through GAAR.¹⁸

The application was for, among other things, an industrial-access road and ancillary facilities (including turnouts, airstrips, and fiber optic line), several material site areas (including one to be developed as a maintenance station after

¹⁴ 2024 SEIS at 1-1.

¹⁵ *Id.* at 3-205.

¹⁶ *Id.* Appendix G at G-1, G-7.

¹⁷ *Id.*

¹⁸ 16 U.S.C. 410hh(4)(d).

use as a material site), and access roads to material sites and water sources.¹⁹

AIDEA requested to use these areas both during construction and for a period of 50 years throughout the operations period for maintenance.²⁰ Once exploration and mine operations in the District are completed and when access to the region is no longer necessary, reclamation measures would be implemented to return the road area to its natural state.²¹

The proposed Road would begin near Milepost 161 of the Dalton Highway, extend west across less than 20 miles of BLM-lands top-filed by the State of Alaska as Statehood Act land entitlements, then cross other lands—mostly State-owned, along with some lands owned by Alaska Native corporations, a portion of GAAR, and two additional short sections of BLM-managed lands—terminating in the District.²²

Though several Federal agencies reviewed AIDEA’s application, the BLM took the lead in processing the application.²³ The BLM prepared an Environmental

¹⁹ 2024 SEIS at 2-7, 2-9, 2-11, 3-30, 3-32, 3-39, Appendix G at G-1.

²⁰ *Id.* at 1-1.

²¹ *Id.* at 2-18.

²² *Id.* The short sections of BLM lands on the western portion of the Road are subject to ongoing conveyance processes that may have concluded by the time this decision issues. For example, in July 2025 the BLM conveyed certain of these lands to NANA Regional Corporation, Inc. as part of its Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act entitlement.

²³ 2024 SEIS at 1-1 to 1-2. Lands managed by the BLM account for a small fraction of the Road’s 211 miles. *Id.* The vast majority of the Road is on non-Federal lands. *Id.* at Vol. 4, at 5-7 (Map 2-3).

Impact Statement (EIS) that considered AIDEA’s proposal along with two alternative routes and a no-action alternative.²⁴ While preparing the EIS, the “BLM consulted with Tribes, AN[CS]A corporations, municipal governments, and other interested parties” about potential effects to historic properties, “and provided opportunities for the public to comment on and share information relevant to the” National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) Section 106 process.²⁵ In July 2020, the BLM and the Corps issued a Joint Record of Decision (JROD) that approved AIDEA’s application.²⁶ A Programmatic Agreement (PA) had been signed between the BLM and other entities establishing procedures for Federal agencies to satisfy their obligations under NHPA section 106 in connection with the Road that was incorporated into the 2020 JROD.²⁷

Concurrently with the 2020 JROD, the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Transportation jointly prepared an Environmental and Economic

²⁴ See 2020 EIS at 2-4 to 2-5.

²⁵ Ambler Road Environmental Impact Statement: Joint Record of Decision, at 14 (July 2020) (2020 JROD) (Section 106 of the NHPA is a procedural statute requiring an agency to “take into account the effect of the undertaking on any historic property” prior to approval. 54 U.S.C. 306108.).

²⁶ 2020 JROD at 23-24.

²⁷ See 2020 JROD Appendix H.

Analysis (EEA) to determine the route through GAAR.²⁸ The Secretaries adopted the EEA's recommendation for the right-of-way as proposed by AIDEA.²⁹

After the agencies approved AIDEA's application, the BLM and the NPS issued AIDEA 50-year ROW permits, and the Corps issued a 15-year Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 404 and Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) Section 10 permit.³⁰

Legal challenges were brought against the Project in August and October 2020.³¹

In early 2022, the Department of the Interior suspended the NPS and the BLM ROWs and sought a voluntary remand without vacatur.³² In May 2022, the U.S. District Court for the District of Alaska remanded the matter to the agencies while retaining jurisdiction.³³ The BLM issued a final Supplemental EIS in April 2024.³⁴

²⁸ Ambler Mining District Industrial Access Project at Gates of the Arctic National Park and Preserve Environmental and Economic Analysis, at 1 (July 2020) (EEA).

²⁹ Record of Decision Ambler Mining District Industrial Access Project at 4 (July 2020).

³⁰ BLM Right-of-Way Grant, No. F-97112 (Jan. 5, 2021), NPS ROW permit GAAR-21-001 (Jan. 5, 2021), Corps CWA Section 404, RHA Section 10 permit POA-2013-00396 (Aug. 25, 2020).

³¹ 2024 SEIS Appendix G at G-1.

³² 2024 SEIS Appendix G at G-1 to G-2.

³³ See *N. Alaska Env't Ctr. v. Haaland*, No. 3:20-CV-00187-SLG, 2022 WL 1556028, at *7 (D. Alaska May 17, 2022), *opinion clarified*, 2023 WL 3661998 (D. Alaska May 25, 2023).

³⁴ See 2024 SEIS.

On June 20, 2024, the BLM issued a new Record of Decision, the 2024 ROD, in which it decided “to select the No Action Alternative and terminate the previously issued ROW Grant.”³⁵ The BLM terminated the PA following issuance of the 2024 ROD.³⁶ On August 5, 2024, the Corps suspended the CWA Section 404 and RHA Section 10 permit associated with the Ambler Road Project.³⁷ The NPS ROW covering the portion of the route traversing NPS-managed lands has remained suspended since 2022.

III. Consideration of the Section 1104(g)(2) Factors

Section 1106 provides for direct review by the President of the denial of the application for the Road. I have considered all eight statutory factors listed in section 1104(g)(2) and referenced in section 1106(a)(2). Each factor independently supports approving AIDEA’s application for the Ambler Road Project, as do the factors when considered together. The reasoning below summarizes my consideration of the factors.

³⁵ 2024 ROD at 30.

³⁶ BLM, Notice to Ambler Access Project Programmatic Agreement Signatories and Consulting Parties (July 11, 2024).

³⁷ August 5, 2024, Corps Letter Suspending Permit Number POA-2013-00396.

1. The Need For, and Economic Feasibility of, The Transportation or Utility System

There is a need for the Road. The Congress in section 201(b)(4) recognized that “there is a need for access for surface transportation purposes” to the District, showing the economic importance of accessing its resources.³⁸ The Road would provide essential surface transportation access for expanded mineral exploration, mine development, and operations for the more than 1,700 active mining claims in the District.³⁹ Public benefits include, among other things, direct employment for road construction and operation, increased State, Alaska Native corporation, and local revenue, and indirect employment related to mining.⁴⁰ The BLM’s 2024 decision confirmed that “[t]he road would provide surface transportation access to the District and allow for expanded exploration, mine development, and mine operations at mineral prospects throughout the District.”⁴¹

The Road is economically feasible. As the BLM explained, “the project would not move ahead with road construction until legal agreements were in hand with the mining companies that would use the road.”⁴² “Funding for maintenance and operations and ongoing mitigation costs would be a pass-through charge to the

³⁸ 16 U.S.C. 410hh(4)(b).

³⁹ 2024 SEIS at 1-4.

⁴⁰ *See generally* 2020 JROD at 10.

⁴¹ 2024 ROD at 16.

⁴² 2020 EIS at 2-9.

mining companies using the road.”⁴³ Each mine would pay a fee to use the road so that the project can be financed.⁴⁴ And AIDEA can lead the financing of the road: it is authorized by statute to participate in public-private partnerships⁴⁵ and has been involved in over \$1 billion of bond issuances.⁴⁶ It also has experience financing mining access roads, as it used bonds to finance the Delong Mountain Transportation System, an operational access road and port in Northwest Alaska that supports the Red Dog Mine.⁴⁷ The BLM’s 2024 SEIS confirmed that the Ambler Road as proposed is “the most economically feasible route.”⁴⁸

Thus, I find there is a need for the Road and that it is economically feasible.

2. Alternative Routes and Modes of Access

Before AIDEA submitted the application for the Road, the State of Alaska looked at several ways to access the District.⁴⁹ Likewise, the BLM’s 2020 EIS and ROD “evaluated a full range of alternative routes and modes to identify reasonable alternatives.”⁵⁰ The BLM supported the Road as proposed, explaining that it “is the most direct and shortest overall route.”⁵¹ The BLM’s 2024 decision confirmed

⁴³ *Id.*

⁴⁴ 2024 ROD at 16.

⁴⁵ A.S. 44.88.080(7), (9), (17), (20).

⁴⁶ State of Alaska, Alaska Public Debt, at 35 (Jan. 2025).

⁴⁷ *Id.*

⁴⁸ 2024 SEIS Appendix M at M-28.

⁴⁹ *See* 2020 EIS Appendix G at G-6 to G-8.

⁵⁰ 2020 JROD at 15; *see* 2020 EIS Appendix G.

⁵¹ 2020 JROD at 16.

that conclusion, and further confirmed that the Road would be “feasible and would provide access to the District.”⁵² The Road is the only option that “meets the overall Project purpose, is practicable, and would result in the least environmentally damaging impacts.”⁵³

After considering the robust record of the planning for the Road and reviewing the alternative transportation systems,⁵⁴ I find that there is not an economically feasible and prudent alternative to the routing of the Road through or within a conservation system unit and that there are not alternative routes or modes that would result in fewer or less severe adverse impacts upon the conservation system unit.

3. Feasibility and Impacts of Including Different Transportation or Utility Systems in The Same Area

The BLM explained in 2020 that “[t]he Ambler Road ROW would be suited to other transportation or utility systems in the same corridor, if there was demand for them,” including a pipeline and communications systems.⁵⁵ The BLM’s 2024 analysis confirmed this conclusion and that further development of the Road may be feasible and “allow[] for communities located in the vicinity of the road to use

⁵² 2024 ROD at 17; *see* 2024 SEIS Appendix M at M-28.

⁵³ 2020 JROD at 10.

⁵⁴ *See generally* 2020 EIS Appendix G.

⁵⁵ 2020 JROD at 16.

the road for commercial deliveries of goods and services.”⁵⁶ “Likewise, communities may also desire to connect to the proposed fiber optic line.”⁵⁷ But in the initial phase at least, the Road would simply be “a seasonal, single-lane, gravel pioneer road.”⁵⁸ I find that it is feasible to include different transportation and utility systems in the same area covered by AIDEA’s proposal and that the impacts of these additions would be positive for local communities.

4. Short- and Long-Term Social, Economic, and Environmental Impacts of National, State, or Local Significance, Including Impacts on Fish and Wildlife and Their Habitat, and on Rural Traditional Lifestyles

The 2020 EIS and JROD extensively analyzed the short- and long-term social, economic, and environmental impacts of national, State, or local significance of the Road.⁵⁹ The BLM found that the Road “would provide some increased job opportunities for residents” in the surrounding areas.⁶⁰ “An estimated total of 2,730 jobs would be directly supported by the construction of the proposed road over the entire construction phase.”⁶¹ “Construction of the proposed road would initiate subsequent rounds of income creation, spending, and re-

⁵⁶ 2024 ROD at 17.

⁵⁷ *Id.*

⁵⁸ *Id.* at 2.

⁵⁹ *See generally* 2020 EIS Chapter 3, Appendix H; 2020 JROD at 16-17.

⁶⁰ 2020 EIS at 3-127.

⁶¹ *Id.* at 3-130.

spending.”⁶² Economic benefits would also accrue to Alaska Native corporations.⁶³ Further, mining operations would contribute to State and local revenues, as well as create more jobs for residents.⁶⁴ The 2020 EIS recognized that:

The combined effects of project employment opportunities, enhanced ability . . . to support public infrastructure and services in the region, and reductions in the cost of living due to changes in the logistics of transporting fuel, freight and people are expected to have an overall beneficial impact on the economic well-being of individuals and families [in the area].⁶⁵

As likewise recognized in Executive Order 14153 of January 20, 2025 (Unleashing Alaska’s Extraordinary Resource Potential), developing Alaska’s mineral resources would “help deliver price relief for Americans, create high-quality jobs for our citizens, ameliorate our trade imbalances, [and] augment the Nation’s exercise of global energy dominance.”⁶⁶

The 2024 SEIS also confirmed that the Road would, among other benefits, create jobs, lead to mining opportunities, provide revenues to Alaska Native

⁶² *Id.* at 3-127.

⁶³ *Id.* at 3-128.

⁶⁴ *Id.* at 3-128, 3-132 to 3-133.

⁶⁵ *Id.* at 3-132; *see also id.* at 3-129 (explaining that “[i]ncreased economic benefits may decrease the number of food-insecure households”); 2020 JROD at 10.

⁶⁶ Exec. Order No. 14153, sec. 1, 90 Fed. Reg. 8347 (Jan. 20, 2025).

corporations, local governments, and the State, and improve community access to transportation.⁶⁷

The 2020 JROD examined impacts on fish and wildlife and their habitat, and on rural, traditional lifestyles and found that the Road “would result in the least environmentally damaging impacts” of any alternative.⁶⁸ The 2020 JROD accepted AIDEA’s many proposed mitigation measures to reduce potential impacts, including a Subsistence Advisory Committee (SAC) to help minimize impacts on subsistence access.⁶⁹ That committee passed resolutions with standard operating procedures to protect caribou and other wildlife.⁷⁰ And the 2024 SEIS confirmed that “the overall migratory patterns of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd are expected to remain intact.”⁷¹ In the most recent year for which data were available, *zero* tracked caribou crossed the Road area.⁷² Nonetheless, “AIDEA would adopt a caribou policy that AIDEA and all contractors and road users would make every effort to ensure caribou are not disturbed in their efforts to cross the road”—including by preventing “the free-flow of traffic on the Ambler Road

⁶⁷ 2024 SEIS at 3-199 to 3-210.

⁶⁸ 2020 JROD at 10, 16-17 (citing 2020 EIS).

⁶⁹ See 2020 JROD Appendix D; 2020 JROD Appendix C at C-10; 2020 EIS Appendix N. sec. 3.4.7 (listing mitigation measures).

⁷⁰ Ambler Access Project Subsistence Advisory Committee Resolution 2024-01, *Road Operations: Fish, Wildlife, and Security Management Plan* (adopted Feb. 21, 2024); *id.* Addendum No. 1 (adopted Aug. 8, 2024).

⁷¹ 2024 SEIS at 3-248.

⁷² 2024 SEIS Appendix E at E-25.

whenever caribou are crossing or are in the area.”⁷³ As for fish, the 2024 SEIS confirmed that “[d]esign features proposed by AIDEA (e.g., design fish passage culverts to comply with Washington stream simulation culvert width standards adapted for Alaska conditions) . . . and other potential measures” “would minimize potential effects to fish species abundance and distribution.”⁷⁴ Alaska law independently protects the efficient passage of fish across streams from obstructions.⁷⁵ Last, contrary to statements in the 2024 ROD, cash flow from road construction and associated activities would “also benefit subsistence hunting and fishing activities by providing additional cash for purchase of gas, ammunition, snow machines, and for repair and maintenance of equipment used in subsistence activities.”⁷⁶

The Road would provide significant short- and long-term benefits for Alaska, its Native corporations, and its residents.

5. Impacts, If Any, on The National Security Interests of The United States

As the BLM found in 2020, “[i]ncreasing access to allow for the exploration and development of critical minerals is an important goal” of several orders related

⁷³ 2024 SEIS at 2-19.

⁷⁴ *Id.* at 3-97.

⁷⁵ AS 16.05.841, 16.05.871.

⁷⁶ 2020 JROD Appendix F at F-68.

to national security.⁷⁷ “The proposed Ambler Road will provide the access necessary for the development and production of . . . critical minerals” like cobalt, germanium, and gallium, which would reduce reliance on foreign sources, “in furtherance of the national security interests of the United States.”⁷⁸ Developing these mineral resources would “enhance our Nation’s economic and national security,”⁷⁹ and it is “imperative for our national security that the United States take immediate action to facilitate domestic mineral production.”⁸⁰

Other potential mineral deposits in the District include copper, zinc, lead, silver, and gold.⁸¹ “Copper is a critical material essential to the national security, economic strength, and industrial resilience of the United States,” and the United States needs “to ensure a reliable, secure, and resilient domestic copper supply chain.”⁸²

The discussion in the 2024 ROD also demonstrates that national-security considerations support the Road. In that regard, the BLM stated that the Road “could provide the access necessary for the eventual development and production

⁷⁷ 2020 JROD at 17.

⁷⁸ *Id.*

⁷⁹ Exec. Order No. 14153, sec. 1.

⁸⁰ Exec. Order No. 14241, sec. 1, 90 Fed. Reg. 13673 (Mar. 20, 2025).

⁸¹ 2020 JROD at 19.

⁸² Exec. Order No. 14220, sec. 1, 90 Fed. Reg. 11001 (Feb. 25, 2025).

of these minerals, or other as-yet unidentified developable deposits of minerals in furtherance of the national security interests of the United States.”⁸³

Accordingly, I find that approving the Road would positively and significantly impact the national-security interests of the United States.

6. Impacts That Would Affect The Purposes for which The Federal Unit or Area Concerned Was Established

As the 2020 JROD explained, “Congress in ANILCA Section 201(4)(b) specifically provided for road access to the District across the Preserve portion of GAAR at the time it established GAAR.”⁸⁴ Access to the District is specifically part of the Congress’s purpose in establishing GAAR. And the Road accounts for the Congress’s other purposes for the unit, such as maintaining GAAR’s wild character and affording access for outdoor recreation, because it “avoids placing an airstrip, construction camp, and maintenance facility within GAAR.”⁸⁵ Approving the application will accomplish one of the purposes for which the Congress established GAAR, and it is compatible with the Congress’s other purposes.

⁸³ 2024 ROD at 19.

⁸⁴ 2020 JROD at 18.

⁸⁵ *Id.* at 9; 16 U.S.C. 410hh.

7. Measures That Should Be Instituted to Avoid or Minimize Negative Impacts

The BLM's 2020 decision "carefully examined . . . potential mitigation measures and standard stipulations," selecting those that would "avoid or minimize adverse impacts" to, among other things, wetlands, water quality, fish and wildlife habitat, and subsistence resources.⁸⁶ It provided six appendices of "design features, mitigation measures, and special conditions [that] are expected to substantially protect valued resources along and near the road."⁸⁷ AIDEA agreed to implement those measures, which will be incorporated into the authorizations that are reissued in accordance with this decision.⁸⁸ In addition to those specific mitigation measures, the Road's status as a private industrial-access road limits many of its potential negative impacts. And rights-of-way authorizations reissued following this decision will reflect that limitation by authorizing only a private industrial-access road and not a road for public access. Collectively, these measures should avoid or minimize negative impacts from the Road.

8. Short- and Long-Term Public Values That May Be Adversely Affected by Approval of The Transportation or Utility System Versus The

⁸⁶ 2020 JROD at 18.

⁸⁷ *Id.*

⁸⁸ BLM Right-of-Way Grant, No. F-97112, at 2 (Jan. 5, 2021), NPS ROW permit GAAR-21-001, at 8 (Jan. 5, 2021), Corps CWA Section 404, RHA Section 10 permit POA-2013-00396, at 7 (Aug. 25, 2020).

Short- and Long-Term Public Benefits That May Accrue from Such Approval

The BLM's 2020 JROD addressed the short- and long-term public values that might "be adversely affected by the road and resulting mines" and public benefits that might accrue from approval of the Project.⁸⁹ Short- and long-term public values that might be adversely affected include, among other things, subsistence opportunities, large tracts of land with intact ecosystems, and recreational opportunities.⁹⁰ AIDEA intends to implement measures like those already discussed to ensure that these adverse effects are minimized.

Public benefits that might accrue include, among other things, direct jobs in construction, indirect jobs in mining, greater mineral exploration and mine development, benefits to landowners (including the State of Alaska and Alaska Native corporations), communications connectivity to isolated communities, and societal access to valuable minerals.⁹¹ There is ample information indicating that those benefits are likely to be realized.

On balance, I find that the public would benefit from the Road.

⁸⁹ 2020 JROD at 18–19.

⁹⁰ *Id.*

⁹¹ *Id.* at 19.

IV. ANILCA Section 1106(a)(2) Findings

Section 1106(a)(2) provides that the President shall approve an application if the President finds (after consideration of the section 1104(g)(2) factors) that (1) approval would be in the public interest, (2) the system would be compatible with the purposes for which the unit was established, and (3) there is no economically feasible and prudent alternative route.⁹² After considering the eight section 1104(g)(2) factors, I find that each of the 1106(a)(2) elements supports the approval of the application and the application is therefore approved. I summarize my findings regarding each of these elements below.

1. I find that approval of the application would be in the public interest. The 2020 JROD already concluded that the Road “is in the public interest.”⁹³ That conclusion is correct and hereby reaffirmed. As discussed, the Road would, among other benefits, create jobs, increase State and local revenues, and enable mine development that would promote American national security interests.⁹⁴ These benefits greatly outweigh any negative impacts. Moreover, the Congress has confirmed via multiple statutory provisions that this transportation system is in the public interest. Beyond Title XI of ANILCA—which generally streamlines the process for transportation systems in Alaska—the Congress recognized in

⁹² 16 U.S.C. 3166(a)(2).

⁹³ 2020 JROD at 10.

⁹⁴ *See id.* at 10, 17.

section 201(4)(b) “that there is a need for access for surface transportation purposes . . . from the Ambler Mining District to the Alaska Pipeline Haul Road.”⁹⁵ In short, the Congress has indicated that projects like the Ambler Road should go forward because such projects promote the public interest in enabling Alaska to support itself and its residents.

2. I find the Road would be compatible with the purposes of the relevant unit—here, GAAR. Again, in the same section of ANILCA that established GAAR, the Congress *required* access across this specific unit in section 201(4)(b), showing that the Road is compatible with the purpose of GAAR.⁹⁶

3. I find that there is no economically feasible and prudent alternative route. This finding is consistent with both the 2020 JROD and 2024 SEIS that detail the inadequacies of alternative routes. The 2020 JROD concluded that “there are no

⁹⁵ 16 U.S.C. 410hh(4)(b) (cleaned up). Senate Report No.119-46, Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Bill, 2026 (July 24, 2025) included the following note on page 21: “Ambler Access Project. —The Committee notes that section 201(4)(b) of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act [ANILCA] of 1980 requires the Secretary to permit access for surface transportation purposes from the Ambler Mining District to the Alaska Pipeline Haul Road in accordance with the provisions of the act and expects the Department to follow the law.”

⁹⁶ See 2020 JROD at 18.

other practicable alternatives that would meet the purpose and need for the proposed project and be less environmentally damaging.”⁹⁷ And the BLM in 2024 again recognized that the Road is “the most economically feasible route.”⁹⁸

V. Direction to Federal Agencies

Under section 1106(a)(3), “[i]f the President approves an application” like this, “each Federal agency concerned shall promptly issue, in accordance with applicable law, such rights-of-way, permits, licenses, leases, certificates, or other authorizations as are necessary with respect to the establishment of the system.”⁹⁹

As used in this part of ANILCA, “applicable law” refers to

any law of general applicability (other than this subchapter) *under which any Federal department or agency has jurisdiction to grant any authorization* (including but not limited to, any right-of-way, permit, license, lease, or certificate) without which a transportation or utility system cannot, in whole or in part, be established or operated.¹⁰⁰

The involved agencies have jurisdiction to grant authorizations under, among other authorities, FLPMA, CWA, RHA, and ANILCA. Statutes such as the National Environmental Policy Act and the National Historic Preservation Act do not provide agencies jurisdiction to issue authorizations, rather they are procedural statutes that require Federal agencies to consider certain things when making

⁹⁷ 2020 JROD Appendix F at F-68.

⁹⁸ 2024 SEIS Appendix M at M-28.

⁹⁹ 16 U.S.C. 3166(a)(3).

¹⁰⁰ 16 U.S.C. 3162(1) (emphasis added).

decisions.¹⁰¹ ANILCA’s definition of “applicable law” omits statutes like NEPA and NHPA, and thus ANILCA does not direct any further environmental statements or other review after Presidential approval. Rather, because I approve this application, I hereby direct the relevant Federal agencies promptly to issue the following authorizations necessary to proceed with the Road.

The Federal agency with jurisdiction must issue the permits described below no later than 30 days after the date of this decision (not its publication in the Federal Register). Agencies are permitted to make only ministerial revisions to their authorizations as necessary to reflect changed circumstances such as termination of the PA (discussed more below), conveyances of BLM-managed lands out of Federal ownership, or other similar circumstances. Otherwise, agencies shall include the same terms and conditions in their reissued authorizations that they included in their original authorizations.

1. The BLM shall reissue BLM Right-of-Way Grant, No. F-97112, originally issued January 5, 2021. Its 50-year term shall begin on the date it is reissued. And the BLM shall, in accordance with applicable law and the 2020

¹⁰¹ *Seven Cty. Infrastructure Coal. v. Eagle Cty.*, 145 S. Ct. 1497, 1507 (2025) (“NEPA is a purely procedural statute.”); *Te-Moak Tribe of W. Shoshone of Nev. v. United States DOI*, 608 F.3d 592, 610 (9th Cir. 2010) (describing NHPA as “a procedural statute requiring government agencies to ‘stop, look, and listen’ before proceeding with agency action”).

JROD, grant any other authorizations necessary for any of the components and phases of the Ambler Road on Federal lands managed by the BLM.¹⁰²

2. NPS shall remove the suspension of ROW permit GAAR-21-001 and reissue it in the same form. Its 50-year term shall begin on the date it is reissued. And the NPS shall, in accordance with applicable law and the 2020 JROD by the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Transportation, grant any other authorizations necessary for any of the components and phases of the Ambler Road on Federal lands managed by the NPS.

3. The Corps shall reinstate the suspended CWA Section 404 permit POA-2013-00396. Its 15-year term shall begin on the date it is reissued.

This reissuance does not preclude the Corps from later reevaluating its jurisdictional determination based on the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S. 651 (2023), and EPA's follow-up guidance¹⁰³ to assess the appropriate jurisdictional limits on the permit, including by identifying all traditionally navigable and relatively permanent bodies of surface water and potentially, if appropriate, revising the permit.

¹⁰² See 2020 JROD at 3.

¹⁰³ See EPA, *Memorandum to the Field Between the U.S. Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Concerning the Proper Implementation of "Continuous Surface Connection" under the Definition of "Waters of the United States" under the Clean Water Act* (Mar. 12, 2025), <https://perma.cc/DN2S-7V47>.

4. The Corps shall reinstate the suspended RHA Section 10 permit POA-2013-00396. Its 15-year term shall begin on the date it is reissued.

5. The USCG jurisdictional and pre-approvals¹⁰⁴ are reaffirmed by this order. No further USCG approvals shall be required.

6. Because the BLM's 2024 ROD canceled the ROW (that is, the undertaking for purposes of the NHPA) and terminated the PA, I direct the BLM (and the other Federal agencies) to replace any authorization provisions referring to the terminated PA with the following provisions to address NHPA issues:

- Adverse effects to historic properties from project construction and operation activities that are within Federal jurisdiction shall be avoided or minimized to the extent prudent and feasible. To the extent that avoiding adverse effects is not prudent or feasible, AIDEA shall implement standard treatment methods identified in the 2021 CRMP for the project to resolve such adverse effects.
- In the event that historic resources, archaeological resources, or human remains are encountered during project construction, the Inadvertent Discovery Plans developed for the project shall be implemented.

Nothing in my findings, decision, or direction are intended to affect approval processes and authorizations required from non-Federal landowners in the Ambler Project corridor.

¹⁰⁴ See Dec. 18, 2020, USCG Letter Declining Jurisdiction; Dec. 18, 2020, USCG Letter for Advance Approval (2024 SEIS at 3-38 to 3-39).

Reader Aids

Federal Register

Vol. 90, No. 193

Wednesday, October 8, 2025

CUSTOMER SERVICE AND INFORMATION

| | |
|---|---------------------|
| Federal Register/Code of Federal Regulations | |
| General Information, indexes and other finding aids | 202-741-6000 |
| Laws | 741-6000 |
| Presidential Documents | |
| Executive orders and proclamations | 741-6000 |
| The United States Government Manual | 741-6000 |
| Other Services | |
| Electronic and on-line services (voice) | 741-6020 |
| Privacy Act Compilation | 741-6050 |

ELECTRONIC RESEARCH

World Wide Web

Full text of the daily Federal Register, CFR and other publications is located at: www.govinfo.gov.

Federal Register information and research tools, including Public Inspection List and electronic text are located at: www.federalregister.gov.

E-mail

FEDREGTOC (Daily Federal Register Table of Contents Electronic Mailing List) is an open e-mail service that provides subscribers with a digital form of the Federal Register Table of Contents. The digital form of the Federal Register Table of Contents includes HTML and PDF links to the full text of each document.

To join or leave, go to <https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/USGPOOFR/subscriber/new>, enter your email address, then follow the instructions to join, leave, or manage your subscription.

PENS (Public Law Electronic Notification Service) is an e-mail service that notifies subscribers of recently enacted laws.

To subscribe, go to <http://listserv.gsa.gov/archives/publaws-l.html> and select *Join or leave the list (or change settings)*; then follow the instructions.

FEDREGTOC and **PENS** are mailing lists only. We cannot respond to specific inquiries.

Reference questions. Send questions and comments about the Federal Register system to: fedreg.info@nara.gov

The Federal Register staff cannot interpret specific documents or regulations.

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATE, OCTOBER

| | |
|------------------|---|
| 47229-47502..... | 1 |
| 47503-47968..... | 2 |
| 47969-48118..... | 3 |
| 48119-48146..... | 6 |
| 48147-48160..... | 7 |
| 48161-48192..... | 8 |

CFR PARTS AFFECTED DURING OCTOBER

At the end of each month the Office of the Federal Register publishes separately a List of CFR Sections Affected (LSA), which lists parts and sections affected by documents published since the revision date of each title.

3 CFR

| | |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Proclamations: | |
| 10976..... | 48127 |
| 10977..... | 48159 |
| Executive Orders: | |
| 14353..... | 48143 |
| 14354..... | 48145 |
| 14355..... | 48153 |
| Administrative Orders: | |
| September 30, 2025..... | 47967 |
| October 6, 2025..... | 48167 |

7 CFR

| | |
|------------------------|-------|
| Proposed Rules: | |
| 905..... | 47240 |
| 925..... | 47243 |
| 930..... | 47503 |
| 956..... | 47245 |
| 984..... | 47248 |

8 CFR

| | |
|----------|-------|
| 214..... | 47507 |
|----------|-------|

12 CFR

| | |
|------------------------|-------|
| 201..... | 47512 |
| 204..... | 47513 |
| 1002..... | 47514 |
| Proposed Rules: | |
| Ch. XV..... | 47251 |
| 1209..... | 47632 |
| 1239..... | 47662 |
| 1241..... | 47662 |
| 1261..... | 47662 |
| 1273..... | 47662 |
| 1277..... | 47662 |
| 1281..... | 47632 |
| 1282..... | 47632 |

14 CFR

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| 39..... | 47523, 47526, 47530, 47532, 47536, 47538, 47540, 47544, 47546 |
| 97..... | 47549, 47551 |
| 107..... | 47969 |
| Proposed Rules: | |
| 39..... | 47251 |

17 CFR

| | |
|------------------------|-------|
| Ch. I..... | 47556 |
| 240..... | 47552 |
| 242..... | 47552 |
| Proposed Rules: | |
| 229..... | 47254 |
| 230..... | 47254 |
| 239..... | 47254 |
| 240..... | 47254 |
| 249..... | 47254 |

19 CFR

| | |
|---------|-------|
| 12..... | 47560 |
|---------|-------|

20 CFR

| | |
|----------|-------|
| 655..... | 47914 |
|----------|-------|

21 CFR

| | |
|------------------------|-------|
| 73..... | 47229 |
| 1301..... | 47561 |
| 1310..... | 47563 |
| 1311..... | 47566 |
| Proposed Rules: | |
| 1308..... | 47663 |
| 1310..... | 47670 |

26 CFR

| | |
|--------|-------|
| 1..... | 47581 |
|--------|-------|

31 CFR

| | |
|------------------------|-------|
| Ch. V..... | 47230 |
| 562..... | 47229 |
| 587..... | 47230 |
| Proposed Rules: | |
| Subtitle A..... | 47251 |
| Subtitle B..... | 47251 |

33 CFR

| | |
|----------|--------------------------------------|
| 1..... | 47583 |
| 3..... | 47583 |
| 67..... | 47583 |
| 72..... | 47583 |
| 80..... | 47583 |
| 100..... | 47583 |
| 107..... | 47583 |
| 110..... | 47583 |
| 117..... | 47232, 47583 |
| 141..... | 47583 |
| 147..... | 47583 |
| 151..... | 47583 |
| 153..... | 47583 |
| 162..... | 47583 |
| 165..... | 47234, 47583, 47588, 47589, 47590 |

37 CFR

| | |
|--------|-------|
| 6..... | 47592 |
|--------|-------|

38 CFR

| | |
|------------------------|-------|
| 17..... | 47595 |
| Proposed Rules: | |
| 4..... | 47266 |

40 CFR

| | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 52..... | 47604, 47607, 47610, 47612, 47615 |
| 180..... | 47235 |
| 423..... | 47617 |
| Proposed Rules: | |
| 51..... | 47677 |
| 52..... | 47686 |
| 63..... | 47268 |
| 81..... | 47686 |
| 84..... | 47999 |
| 423..... | 47693 |

46 CFR

| | |
|---------|-------|
| 4..... | 47583 |
| 42..... | 47583 |

| | | | |
|---------------|---|------------------------|------------------------|
| 401.....47583 | 191.....47620 | Proposed Rules: | 648.....47989 |
| 49 CFR | 192.....47621, 47622, 47623, 47624, 47625, 47626 | 40.....47286 | 660.....47629 |
| 23.....47969 | 195.....47620, 47625, 47626, 47627 | 50 CFR | 679.....47631, 48147 |
| 26.....47969 | 384.....47627 | 600.....47982 | Proposed Rules: |
| 190.....47620 | | 622.....47628, 47982 | 622.....47713 |
| | | | 679.....47716 |

LIST OF PUBLIC LAWS

Note: No public bills which have become law were received by the Office of the Federal Register for inclusion

in today's **List of Public Laws**.

Last List September 9, 2025

Public Laws Electronic Notification Service (PENS)

PENS is a free email notification service of newly

enacted public laws. To subscribe, go to https://portalguard.gsa.gov/__layouts/PG/register.aspx.

Note: This service is strictly for email notification of new laws. The text of laws is not available through this service. **PENS** cannot respond to specific inquiries sent to this address.